Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

1	CHICAGO INFRASTRUCTURE TRUST
2	BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
3	
4	BEFORE: CERTIFIED
5	MR. CARL LINGENFELTER MS. LESLIE M. DARLING TRANSCRIPT
6	MR. GEORGE MARQUISOS
7	MR. SCOTT FALK MR. TOM BUDESCU
8	MR. DAMON SILVERS (via telephone) MS. KYM HUBBARD
9	MR. MIGUEL ZARATE MR. MICHAEL SCOTT, JR. MR. MATT O'SHEA
10	MS. DEBRA A. CAFARO MR. JORGE RAMIREZ
11	MS. PATRICIA DOMINGUEZ MS. SHARON ALEXANDER-JENKINS
12	MS. SHARON ALEXANDER-UENKINS
13	The meeting of the Chicago Infrastructure
14	Trust, before the Chicago Infrastructure Trust
15	Board of Directors, taken before Julie Walsh,
16	CSR, and notary public of Lake County, Illinois,
17	on the 20th day of April, 2016, at the
18	Metropolitan Planning Council, 140 South Dearborn
19	Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois, beginning
20	at approximately 10:37 a.m., pursuant to notice.
21	
22	REPORTED BY: JULIE WALSH, CSR
23	LICENSE NO: 084-004032
24	

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 (Whereupon the meeting
- 2 commenced at 10:37 a.m.)
- 3 MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. I think we'll
- 4 get started if I could ask folks to take seats.
- 5 I am not Treasurer Summers. He is unable to be
- 6 with us this morning, but we will go through the
- 7 agenda as stated. So I would like to call to
- 8 order this meeting of the Chicago Infrastructure
- 9 Trust Board of Directors. We have a good solid
- 10 substantive agenda this quarter I guess. A lot
- 11 of good progress on both the Smart Lighting
- 12 Project, the Home Buyer Assistance Program in
- 13 particular, as well as strategic plan review that
- 14 we are very excited about.
- 15 So without further adeu first order of
- 16 business is approval of the minutes from January
- 17 27th, 2016. Is there a motion to approve the
- 18 minutes?
- 19 MR. O'SHEA: So moved.
- 20 MS. HUBBARD: Second.
- 21 MR. LINGENFELTER: Any objection? If not --
- 22 MR. SILVERS: Excuse me.
- 23 MR. LINGENFELTER: What's that?
- 24 MS. DARLING: Sorry, we have Damon Silvers

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 on the phone.
- 2 MR. LINGENFELTER: Oh, Damon Silvers on the
- 3 phone, okay.
- 4 MR. SILVERS: Yes, I'm sorry to do this, but
- 5 there's -- there are two places where what I said
- 6 in the last meeting just wasn't accurately
- 7 recorded. Should I note them here or can we deal
- 8 with this later?
- 9 MS. DARLING: I think we can --
- 10 MR. FALK: Maybe we can just have Damon
- 11 e-mail a mark up of the transcript and we can get
- 12 it corrected.
- 13 MR. SILVERS: Yeah, it's just like a
- 14 misunderstanding. If I could do it by e-mail,
- 15 that would save us time here.
- 16 MR. LINGENFELTER: That would be good. Why
- 17 don't we -- why don't we consider them approved
- 18 subject to that e-mail. Any objection? We'll
- 19 consider them approved in that manner. Board
- 20 calendar, Leslie, did --
- 21 MS. DARLING: Yes, I just wanted to review
- 22 the calendar for the rest of the year. So we are
- 23 looking at July 19th, October 18th and December
- 24 6th. I did want to make the Board aware those

- 1 are all the same dates that we discussed in
- 2 January. But I did want to let you know that the
- 3 only thing that could be a small additional
- 4 emergency meeting or a need for an emergency
- 5 meeting would be if we get to the end of the year
- 6 and need to approve the selection of the vendors
- 7 for the Smart Lighting Project. That may or may
- 8 not fit exactly in with the schedule, but that
- 9 has to also go to City Council. So we will know
- 10 more as the project continues and I will keep you
- 11 updated, but I did want to just put that on your
- 12 radar screen. Thank you.
- 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Any questions or
- 14 comments? Document retention policy.
- 15 MS. DARLING: This is a policy that is just
- 16 a corporate best practice that is something as an
- 17 Illinois nonprofit we should have. Prior to this
- 18 we -- the Chicago Infrastructure Trust has not
- 19 had such a policy. In light of the fact that we
- 20 were subject to the Freedom of Information Act,
- 21 etcetera, I thought it would be prudent to adopt
- 22 such a policy. This is a policy that was
- 23 reviewed by Scott Falk and has been submitted to
- 24 you for your approval.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 MS. HUBBARD: Just a question, is this in
- 2 line with other document retention policies for
- 3 the -- for the City and State?
- 4 MS. DARLING: It's very different than the
- 5 City because they're subject to other laws as a
- 6 government body which we are not, but it's very
- 7 similar to policies at nonprofits.
- 8 MS. HUBBARD: Okay.
- 9 MR. LINGENFELTER: Any other questions? Is
- 10 there a motion to approve?
- 11 MR. RAMIREZ: You have a motion.
- 12 MR. LINGENFELTER: Motion by Director
- 13 Ramirez, second by Director O'Shea. All in
- 14 favor?
- 15 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 16 MR. LINGENFELTER: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 17 MS. DARLING: Great. Now, I'll just do a
- 18 very, very quick Trust operations update. Last
- 19 time we met in January George had -- George
- 20 Marquisos who had just joined us as Managing
- 21 Director and I had introduced you to Patricia
- 22 Dominguez and she was going to be joining us in
- 23 February to help us run our office and be a
- 24 program coordinator. She has since joined us and

- 1 we are very pleased to have both of them on
- 2 board.
- 3 We have two positions that are posted
- 4 right now. A program analyst for the Home Buyer
- 5 Assistance Program which is up on our website.
- 6 We have also advertised for some interns. We are
- 7 hoping to get those folks on. And then planning
- 8 ahead we are hoping to bring a couple of
- 9 additional staff on over the summer, a program
- 10 analyst -- an additional program analyst for
- 11 overall programming and then a more senior
- 12 financial person. So I will keep you updated as
- 13 those get posted.
- 14 We brought to you last time in January
- 15 the 401K proposal that you adopted. We have the
- 16 official launch of that on April 15th.
- 17 Everything is going great. We were promised a
- 18 turnkey operation. It is exactly that. We think
- 19 it's very important to have good benefits at the
- 20 CIT to make sure that we keep an attractive
- 21 talent.
- 22 As you know on the budget and audit we
- 23 are in a transition year, transitioning over from
- 24 the former leadership. So we are still closing

- 1 out 2015 financials and we are going to be
- 2 getting some pro bono assistance from a
- 3 well-known company in town who shall remain
- 4 nameless because we haven't finished the
- 5 agreement quite yet, but we're looking forward to
- 6 working with them. They will be assisting us in
- 7 putting out an RFP for audit services.
- 8 And then I also wanted to introduce
- 9 Sharon Alexander-Jenkins from Washington, Pittman
- 10 & McKeever. And she is our accountant and we've
- 11 been working with her recently and really
- 12 enjoying that. She's been doing a great job for
- 13 us. So she will be assisting us as we get things
- 14 ready for audit and look forward to bringing you
- 15 some more detailed financials in the months
- 16 ahead.
- 17 Also coming up is Infrastructure Week
- 18 in May. And wanted to just make you aware this
- 19 is a national week of events and media and
- 20 education and advocacy to bring infrastructure
- 21 and elevate infrastructure into more of the
- 22 national conversation. During this week I have
- 23 been invited to Washington D.C. to meet with
- 24 other infrastructure accelerators, agencies

- 1 similar to the Chicago Infrastructure Trust that
- 2 are popping up all over the country. There's one
- 3 in Colorado, the West Coast Infrastructure
- 4 Exchange, which you may have heard of. We are
- 5 all convening for a couple of days early in that
- 6 week and I will keep you updated about that.
- But, also, you know, I spoke to you
- 8 last time about some possible grants available
- 9 and so we are going to be figuring out how to
- 10 advocate for those. So keep you updated on that.
- 11 Unless anybody has any questions, we can move on
- 12 to the more exciting parts of our agenda.
- 13 MS. CAFARO: Thank you.
- 14 MR. LINGENFELTER: Questions? Okay. Next
- 15 item is the Strategic Planning Project.
- 16 MS. DARLING: So at this point we -- you
- 17 have received these documents in advance of this
- 18 meeting. And first I want to thank Tom Budescu
- 19 who you all were so generous to meet with and
- 20 spend some time with during his succumbment to
- 21 the CIT with the Civic Consulting Alliance. We
- 22 have a wonderful partnership with CCA and Tom did
- 23 incredible work for us and put together in
- 24 partnership with me and George this terrific

- 1 strategic plan and operational document for us
- 2 that I asked him to come back. He's since gone
- 3 back to EY unfortunately for us, but we are
- 4 really grateful for all the work he did, and
- 5 wanted to give a chance for us to have a
- 6 discussion and for Tom to give a presentation
- 7 assisted by Antonio Benecchi who is here from
- 8 CCA. Antonio, do you want to come up to the
- 9 table.
- 10 MR. BENECCHI: Morning.
- 11 MR. BUDESCU: Okay. So in the last board
- 12 meeting in January we sort of gave a very
- 13 high-level overview of what the scope of the
- 14 engagement was and where we are at the time or
- 15 where we were at the time. And so I wanted to go
- 16 back and sort of, again, talk a little bit about
- 17 the scope and show where we've progressed.
- 18 The goal is we entered the engagement
- 19 was to help define and clarify the Infrastructure
- 20 Trust strategic and operational framework. The
- 21 critical elements were to help define the scope
- 22 of CIT activities, the project selection
- 23 framework and the operating model. The initial
- 24 phase of the project focussed on meeting with the

- 1 Board and additional key stakeholders to get
- 2 feedback from the stakeholders to insure that the
- 3 vision of the framework put in place matches what
- 4 the stakeholders have in mind.
- 5 And we also created a project steering
- 6 committee which was led by Secretary Summers as
- 7 well as Deputy Mayor Steve Koch; Carol Brown, the
- 8 City FCO; CDOT Commissioner, Scheinfeld; and
- 9 David Narefsky from Mayer Brown. And as we
- 10 gathered all the feedback the second phase of the
- 11 engagement really after the last meeting in
- 12 January was to focus on crafting a strategic and
- 13 operational plan that was responsive to the
- 14 vision of the CIT stakeholders. But also aware
- 15 of the market constraints that the CIT faces, but
- 16 still was ambitious and achievable. And as of
- 17 mid March we finished the engagement. And so
- 18 we'll give a review of the results of that
- 19 engagement.
- 20 So the initial step of crafting the
- 21 framework required synthesizing the stakeholder
- 22 feedback that we are seeking the Board and the
- 23 additional stakeholders to provide a guiding
- 24 mandate and principles. And so we laid them out

- 1 here. We sort of see the mandate as being -- the
- 2 Chicago Infrastructure Trust leverages
- 3 alternative finance and delivery structures to
- 4 expand the capacity of the City and other
- 5 government agencies to deliver new and improved
- 6 existing infrastructure.
- 7 I think we've honed in on three
- 8 guiding principles. We want to provide
- 9 complementary services as a response of the needs
- 10 of the City and its sister agencies. And I think
- 11 this one is really critical in that we don't want
- 12 to just chase ideas that seem good on paper in
- 13 terms of maybe they are creative financing, but
- 14 we want to be aware of what the City's needs are.
- 15 And in order to remain relevant I
- 16 think the projects that the CIT needs to pursue
- 17 have to be relevant to what its clients are which
- 18 in this case is the City and the sister agencies
- 19 and maybe other regional government entities. We
- 20 want to insure value to the City and the sister
- 21 agencies and ultimately the taxpayers on the
- 22 delivery of infrastructure projects. And, you
- 23 know, we want to act as a dedicated specialized
- 24 resource for alternative project delivery to the

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 City and its sister agencies.
- I think what ultimately came out of
- 3 some of these conversations as well is that we
- 4 wanted to take a broader approach and this means
- 5 both in terms of an asset class. We are not just
- 6 going to focus on transportation on energy, but
- 7 again be a bit more agnostic about asset class
- 8 and more responsive to the actual needs of the
- 9 City.
- 10 And then ultimately we wanted to look
- 11 beyond public private partnerships. And when we
- 12 say that that's not to say that we don't want to
- 13 continue pursuing public private partnerships
- 14 with the right projects given the right
- 15 opportunities, but have a broader focus in
- 16 attempting to assist in facilitating
- 17 infrastructure delivery through any available
- 18 delivery structure not just P3's.
- 19 So, you know, it was critical to -- in
- 20 order to pursue this new strategic vision, it was
- 21 really critical to establish a tangible value
- 22 proposition for the Infrastructure Trust in order
- 23 to create a viable strategic framework. And so
- 24 this value proposition is really driven by a

- 1 course set of what we think of as the potential
- 2 specialized resources and capabilities of the
- 3 Infrastructure Trust. And these specialized
- 4 resources and capabilities that we have targeted
- 5 here are really driven by the conversations we
- 6 had in the initial stage of the engagement when
- 7 we had the conversation with each of you and
- 8 additional stakeholders to start thinking about
- 9 what is it that the CIT could do to provide value
- 10 to the City and the sister agencies that they
- 11 don't already do.
- 12 And so I think we've come up with a
- 13 high-level list here that the subject matter
- 14 expertise I think the CIT very much can act as a
- 15 shared resource for the City and the sister
- 16 agencies with expertise in alternative financing
- 17 and delivery structure. And by acting as the
- 18 shared resource, it can reduce the learning curve
- 19 on complex projects where each department doesn't
- 20 have to start learning each one of these
- 21 structures from scratch and reduce inefficiencies
- 22 in the delivery of such projects, and having a
- 23 City partner that's fluent in the language of
- 24 this alternative financing world.

- 1 As well we think the CIT can act as a
- 2 transformative idea incubator. By focussing
- 3 specifically on infrastructure and infrastructure
- 4 delivery, the Infrastructure Trust has more
- 5 bandwidth to be aware of what are the innovative
- 6 ideas going around the country and the world and
- 7 be able to find the ones that are most relevant
- 8 to the City and bring them here in a way that
- 9 currently the City and the department and
- 10 agencies within it might not have the bandwidth
- 11 to spend enough time on those issues.
- 12 I think Project Aggregation is a
- 13 really great one. The CIT is in a unique
- 14 position to act as a platform for Project
- 15 Aggregation whether it's between departments and
- 16 agencies or even a little broader
- 17 jurisdictionally in the region to be able to say
- 18 by aggregating these similar projects and
- 19 bundling them together, you can achieve greater
- 20 economies of scale on these projects. And I
- 21 think Retrofit One was an example of that and the
- 22 streetlights project that Leslie will spend some
- 23 more time discussing is another great example of
- 24 that.

- Project management, I think this kind
- 2 of ties in as well with the project aggregation.
- 3 The CIT is really well positioned to manage
- 4 multiple -- multi-agency, multi-departmental
- 5 projects that tend to perhaps linger because
- 6 there is not a single champion for the project
- 7 especially in the processes and procurement
- 8 forward. And in doing so, you know, the CIT
- 9 really brings bandwidth and expertise to the City
- 10 to be able to push these projects forward. And I
- 11 think, again, the streetlights project is a great
- 12 example of that.
- 13 And then finally, access to private
- 14 capital. I think the CIT is going to be able to
- 15 assist government agencies and -- in determining
- 16 the suitability of certain projects to access
- 17 private capital and if they are suitable in
- 18 determining the best structure in order to
- 19 leverage private capital.
- 20 And I think ultimately what these
- 21 specialized capabilities lead up to the value
- 22 proposition both to the public side and the
- 23 private side. On the public side I think the
- 24 goal is to say we will help enable governments --

- 1 government agencies to accelerate project
- 2 delivery, reduce project costs and enhance value
- 3 to taxpayers. And on the private side I think,
- 4 you know, creating consistent and transparent
- 5 processes, having a fluent -- a partner fluent in
- 6 alternative delivery structure and hopefully
- 7 expanding the regional pipeline and creating more
- 8 work for the private sector in infrastructure in
- 9 the Chicago region.
- 10 So, you know, I think in pursuing our
- 11 strategy we want to take a look at a broad range
- 12 of project structure and also aim to reduce the
- 13 reliance on City funding. And so the broader
- 14 range of project structure goes back to the point
- 15 where we said public private partnerships are
- 16 great; but if we want to be viable, we need to
- 17 look at other structures as well. The reality is
- 18 that there is a bit of a constraint on the PPP
- 19 market and Chicago revenue concessions are
- 20 projects in which the private sector is given the
- 21 right to the future revenues of an asset and then
- 22 they use that revenue stream to finance the
- 23 capital costs. There are not many projects that
- 24 pay for themselves in this matter.

- 1 And the other structure you've been
- 2 seeing a lot around the country is called an
- 3 availability payment structure. An availability
- 4 payment structure is really based on an
- 5 appropriations pledge from the government agency
- 6 to pay a stream of payments over a 30- to 50-year
- 7 period. And an availability payment structure is
- 8 the private lenders take on both an
- 9 appropriations risk, but also the construction
- 10 risk and operations risk of an infrastructure
- 11 project. And what that generally means is that
- 12 the ratings for the project that tend to be at a
- 13 minimum three notches below the general
- 14 obligation rating of the public agency procuring
- 15 the project and they tend to need to achieve
- 16 investment grade rating.
- 17 And the reality is in the short term
- 18 that constraints be the amount of projects that
- 19 can be looked through the lens of an availability
- 20 payment structure in the region.
- 21 MS. DARLING: So I think that these are
- 22 things that in the future we will look at as, and
- 23 poor Tom, has heard me say this so many times;
- 24 but it's something that's in our toolbox, but is

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 not where we are going to focus our attention.
- 2 And we'll be looking at projects where we can
- 3 provide other alternative delivery structures to
- 4 the City that aren't necessarily a classic public
- 5 private partnership.
- 6 MR. BUDESCU: And so, you know, we sort of
- 7 tried to think, well, what are some of the
- 8 additional structures that might fit the role of
- 9 the Infrastructure Trust. And I think the very
- 10 intuitive one is the Retrofit ESCO Project where
- 11 you've already seen the Trust execute on Retrofit
- 12 One, and it's a similar idea behind what is being
- 13 structured with the Streetlight Program. You
- 14 know, looking at asset redevelopments to unlock
- 15 additional infrastructure improvements of transit
- 16 oriented development and things of that nature.
- 17 And I think there's, you know, the
- 18 other there is a pretty big bucket. But I think
- 19 we want to just really think about what are
- 20 innovative ways to help expand the pipeline of
- 21 infrastructure projects and improvements in the
- 22 City.
- 23 So one thing that, for example, would
- 24 fit in there is assisting with implementation of

- 1 something the MPC has been pushing which is
- 2 transit value capture. You know, they've been
- 3 pushing the legislation and to say if something
- 4 like that happens, I think that it's the kind of
- 5 thing that the Infrastructure Trust could really
- 6 assist in implementing on a project.
- 7 And so on the operational side, you
- 8 know, we -- one of the main goals on the
- 9 operational side is to reduce the reliance on
- 10 City funding. And in order to do so we have
- 11 thought about it in multiple revenue streams.
- 12 There's the revenue streams associated with the
- 13 core operations. And when we think about the
- 14 core operational revenue stream, we're
- 15 specifically thinking about project transaction
- 16 fees when we deliver a project successfully to
- 17 close. And maybe project oversight fees where we
- 18 play an oversight role either in the construction
- 19 or operations of the project.
- 20 And then additionally there's other
- 21 CIT services that include things like the Home
- 22 Buyers Assistance Program not necessarily
- 23 delivering an infrastructure project, but aligned
- 24 with the mission of the CIT and also providing

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 surplus revenues for the core operations.
- 2 And finally I think we want to pursue
- 3 some federal and philanthropic grants as Leslie
- 4 had mentioned. So, you know, I think our vision
- 5 is that the Infrastructure Trust can play a wide
- 6 range of roles on projects. And, again, this is
- 7 where we wanted to be responsive to the feedback
- 8 from the Board and the stakeholders to say it's
- 9 important to remain agile with the goal of being
- 10 as responsive as possible to the City and
- 11 agencies on each specific project. So we sort of
- 12 outlined examples of roles we could play
- 13 throughout the lifecycle of an Infrastructure
- 14 project. And that's not to say that this is a
- 15 comprehensive list, but I think it gives an
- 16 indication of the broad capabilities that we
- 17 envision the Infrastructure Trust having. And
- 18 that's from going from idea incubation, reviewing
- 19 unsolicited proposals or coming up with ideas,
- 20 preliminary and technical analysis to say is this
- 21 even a feasible idea or project to move forward.
- 22 Then the procurement in financing
- 23 where I think, you know, there is a lot of meat
- 24 there for the Infrastructure to do. And then

- 1 some oversight and financial oversight roles and
- 2 the project construction and operations and
- 3 maintenance.
- 4 And so I think we talk a lot about
- 5 leveraging the broad mandate, but we also thought
- 6 it was very important to remain focussed on the
- 7 projects that make the most sense for the
- 8 Infrastructure Trust. So we created a sport
- 9 filtering program to help the CIT prioritize its
- 10 work as it builds its pipeline. You know, we
- 11 wanted to make sure that the projects are aligned
- 12 with the mission of the CIT. And then we wanted
- 13 to say is there a case to be made from the
- 14 project economics to drive innovative financing
- 15 or delivery structure? Is the project itself
- 16 feasible? Do we think that there is partner buy
- 17 in that the public agency? And do we think that
- 18 there is an interest on the private side to say
- 19 this is a project worth pursuing and investing
- 20 our resources in? Is the project reasonable for
- 21 the CIT to pursue in terms of does the amount of
- 22 resources required to pursue it make sense given
- 23 the scale and impact of the project? And then
- 24 ultimately what's the capacity to provide the

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 necessary resources in order to execute the
- 2 project?
- 3 So I think we gave some version of
- 4 this slide the first time around. I think now
- 5 it's in a little more context. You know, we sort
- 6 of based on the conversations we've had with all
- 7 of you as well as the Strategic Planning Process,
- 8 have laid out some short-term, medium-term and
- 9 long-term goals for the Trust. I think in terms
- 10 of the organizational structure, we want to
- 11 initially focus on the critical needs and then
- 12 build out the resources over time to build out a
- 13 mature organization.
- 14 Operational funding, as we discussed
- 15 this is a really big one. In the short term we
- 16 really want to establish the revenue stream and,
- 17 you know, getting the Home Buyers Association
- 18 Program up and running, getting the Streetlights
- 19 Projects closed. And then over time expand those
- 20 revenue stream and ultimately with the hope of
- 21 becoming completely self-funded.
- 22 You know, we want to establish and
- 23 further develop the capabilities we had talked
- 24 about in the previous slides. Continue to expand

- 1 the specialized subject matter expertise we have
- 2 in terms of institutional knowledge and
- 3 eventually become a critical resource for the
- 4 City and the sister agencies.
- 5 And I think in terms of projects our
- 6 initial focus right now is we really want to
- 7 execute what's on our plate and demonstrate our
- 8 value to the City and the sister agencies. And
- 9 then over time take on a more ambitious note.
- 10 Okay. And then so, finally, want to
- 11 talk a little bit about the operational plan to
- 12 -- that's been put in place to support the
- 13 strategic goals and that's a phase build up of
- 14 our internal capabilities. And so we've looked
- 15 at four primary drivers for the development of
- 16 our capabilities. Targeted experience, hirings,
- 17 project experience over time, institutional
- 18 knowledge development and enhanced interactions
- 19 with clients in industry.
- 20 And I think what we found is that to
- 21 build out the subject matter expertise and
- 22 project management -- and really our capabilities
- 23 in the short term, it's going to have to really
- 24 come -- depend highly on targeting experienced

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 hires. And then over time I think the Trust can
- 2 rely more on building out its institutional
- 3 knowledge and project experience to supplement
- 4 the knowledge of the initial hires.
- 5 MS. HUBBARD: So these are hires within CIT?
- 6 MR. BUDESCU: Yes.
- 7 MS. HUBBARD: Potential.
- 8 MR. BUDESCU: Potential hires, yes. Okay.
- 9 MS. DARLING: So thank you, Tom. We really
- 10 appreciate all your work. And so I think we
- 11 would like to open it up if there is any
- 12 discussion.
- 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: You talked a little bit
- 14 about thinking more broadly beyond just, you
- 15 know, transportation and energy, given some of
- 16 the other ideas that may be in that incubation
- 17 period, what do you think of?
- 18 MR. BUDESCU: Yeah, I think it's really
- 19 going to depend on what the City needs, but I
- 20 think you are seeing right now in general in the
- 21 infrastructure market domestically where it was
- 22 previously very much focused on transportation, a
- 23 broader social infrastructure focus. Even
- 24 though, you know, you are seeing courthouses,

- 1 civic centers go up around the country using
- 2 innovative delivery structures. You know, I
- 3 think that's where the expansion is really come
- 4 more domestically on the social infrastructure
- 5 side. I think here locally it's more to say that
- 6 we don't want to box ourselves in to these are
- 7 the only projects we do. And if the City doesn't
- 8 actually need them, you lose relevancy. And more
- 9 to say if the City is in need of help on a
- 10 complex project, the Infrastructure Trust is a
- 11 resource that would be able to assist.
- 12 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Comments?
- 13 Questions? I guess I just would like to add
- 14 though Leslie's thanks to Tom and Anthony and to
- 15 the Consulting Alliance and the commercial club
- 16 more broadly and I think the mission of this
- 17 entity is to try to bring together the private
- 18 sector and labor and the government agencies to
- 19 find a way to accelerate investment in this.
- 20 And, you know, the City asked Leslie
- 21 and the Board to define a much crisper mission.
- 22 What is our core policy? Where can we add value?
- 23 Where should we with a real focus on execution.
- 24 And so, you know, you guys have really helped us

- 1 do that. And so and all of the stakeholders that
- 2 you interviewed and took the time to contribute
- 3 to this, it really enriched this process. So
- 4 thank you to everybody involved.
- 5 MS. DARLING: Thank you very much.
- 6 MR. LINGENFELTER: Next items are project
- 7 updates, Leslie.
- 8 MS. DARLING: Sure. So I want to be
- 9 cognizant of everyone's time. So as you all know
- 10 on Monday the Chicago Infrastructure Trust
- 11 released part one of our Street Lighting RFQ-P
- 12 which I have forwarded to all of you. This was a
- 13 result of a rather painstaking due diligence
- 14 process that was really beneficial to shaping the
- 15 financial document that we released on Monday.
- 16 We worked with eight City departments and the
- 17 park district.
- 18 I am actually -- I asked First Deputy
- 19 Commissioner of the Department of Transportation,
- 20 Kevin O'Malley, to join us here as well today.
- 21 He's probably our closest stakeholder because
- 22 CDOT operates and maintains the City streetlight
- 23 system. And so I asked him to join us today as
- 24 kind of the largest City stakeholder. But we

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 worked closely with so many departments, the park
- 2 district. We were assisted ably and continue to
- 3 be by the Department of Energy. We are working
- 4 with Michael Poplowski on the West Coast and Rose
- 5 Jordan from the MEEA, Midwest Energy Efficiency
- 6 Alliance, who have provided pro bono service to
- 7 us and technical expertise. Obviously partnering
- 8 with the private sector has been a huge part of
- 9 what we've done here because we did the RFI last
- 10 fall and the results of that gave us a tremendous
- 11 amount of industry feedback which was incredibly
- 12 helpful.
- 13 And then we've spent a lot of time
- 14 talking to other municipalities who have worked
- 15 -- who have also implemented LED conversions in
- 16 their cities. And because the City of Chicago is
- 17 not the earliest or first adopter on LED
- 18 conversion, we've had the opportunity to learn
- 19 from other cities like New York and many other
- 20 large cities including Los Angeles. And we've
- 21 been really pleased to learn best practices from
- 22 them and really help us formulate the RFQ that we
- 23 were able to release this week.
- 24 So I think there is a lot of questions

- 1 about what is smart lighting. And so smart
- 2 lighting is, of course, lighting that can be
- 3 controlled as I will talk about in a few minutes
- 4 and what the project actually is.
- 5 But the other reason that it is smart
- 6 lighting is because we have taken a tremendous
- 7 amount of time in what Tom has described as a
- 8 complex project, and we've been able to figure
- 9 out how to do a project delivery for the City
- 10 that we think makes sense for the City and for
- 11 taxpayers. And we want to be smart about that
- 12 project. We want to deliver the right project.
- 13 This is going to impact the nighttime experience
- 14 for everyone who lives and visits -- lives in and
- 15 visits Chicago. And it is going to create a
- 16 change.
- 17 So right now there is that orange glow
- 18 in the City that you are all very used to. That
- 19 will change with this conversion. And so we want
- 20 to make sure that we are engaging stakeholders
- 21 and the communities throughout the City to make
- 22 sure that we are getting it right. It's a huge
- 23 priority for the City and for the CIT to make
- 24 sure that we deliver this project in a -- in a --

- 1 the right way. So we are going to take our time
- 2 to make sure that we are getting community
- 3 feedback and addressing that feedback as we go
- 4 through this process. So the question I think --
- 5 MS. HUBBARD: Can I ask two questions about
- 6 the RFQ before we get into this lighting project?
- 7 MS. DARLING: Of course.
- 8 MS. HUBBARD: Did we send the RFQ to
- 9 everyone who responded to the RFI or is it open
- 10 to anybody even if they did not respond to the
- 11 RFI?
- 12 MS. DARLING: The RFQ is open to anyone who
- 13 wants to respond. We did, however -- anybody who
- 14 responded to the RFI, did get an e-mail with the
- 15 link where we said now it's available. They are
- 16 all aware of it. And it has received a
- 17 tremendous amount of attention both in the
- 18 industry press and also the local press. And so
- 19 the word is out.
- 20 As of this morning we had over 50
- 21 people responding saying that there are document
- 22 holders and that they will be at our presubmittal
- 23 conference. And so that's actually -- thank you
- 24 for prompting me on that, Kim, because we will be

- 1 having a prebidder conference on May 3rd at the
- 2 new Malcolm X College Conference Center that will
- 3 be -- registration will be at 9:30. At 10:00
- 4 o'clock is the -- we will start the presubmittal
- 5 conference.
- 6 It's also a networking session because
- 7 we want to encourage minority and women owned
- 8 businesses and small businesses to participate in
- 9 this program. And so we want those teams to be
- 10 able to all meet each other as they decide how to
- 11 form their teams and they'll have a few weeks
- 12 after that because the submittal will be due on
- 13 May 20th.
- 14 MS. HUBBARD: And that was my second
- 15 question to expound upon the language in the RFQ
- 16 that consists upon minority and women owned
- 17 participation.
- 18 MS. DARLING: We asked every respondent to
- 19 supply what their plan would be to meet the
- 20 commitment goals of the City of Chicago. We are
- 21 following the guidelines that the City of Chicago
- 22 has set for this project which is 26 percent
- 23 minority participation and 6 percent women
- 24 participation. And that we are going to follow

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 the exact rules that the City has. And, of
- 2 course, those are goals; but those are -- we're
- 3 following the City's guidelines.
- 4 MS. HUBBARD: Thank you.
- 5 MS. DARLING: So what the project is is
- 6 after all of the work that we did, what we --
- 7 where we think the project lies is we think we
- 8 can deliver better lighting, better service and
- 9 better safety in the City of Chicago by
- 10 converting approximately 85 percent of the City's
- 11 lighting fixtures over to LED's. That's
- 12 approximately 270,000 lights.
- 13 In addition to that what we think that
- 14 that's going to -- as I mentioned that's going to
- 15 change the color of the light and provide
- 16 excellent visual acuity that you don't see now.
- 17 It will help make our streets safer. It will
- 18 save energy. And we know that, which is how we
- 19 are going to pay for this; we know that a
- 20 conversion to LED saves 50 to 75 percent off the
- 21 energy bill. And so we are going to use and
- 22 leverage those savings to pay for this project.
- 23 And we'll also have or the RFQ seeks
- 24 to have an implementation of the lighting

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 management system which is controlled by a node
- 2 on the top of the light. And we are still
- 3 determining how many of those we would get and
- 4 what that would look like, but that lighting
- 5 management system will provide realtime
- 6 information on outages. And that is where the
- 7 better service comes in because right now the
- 8 City relies on individual citizens to call in to
- 9 311 or Aldermen to call in to CDOT to ask for
- 10 lights to be changed over. And now with this
- 11 light management system that we would like to
- 12 install, we believe that we will have realtime
- 13 outage information. Alderman.
- 14 MR. SCOTT: You said 270 lights total?
- 15 MS. DARLING: 270,000.
- 16 MR. SCOTT: Sorry, 270,000. How many of
- 17 those are park district lights and how many of
- 18 those are --
- 19 MS. DARLING: Those are all City lights.
- 20 MR. SCOTT: All City lights. Okay.
- 21 MS. DARLING: Those are all City lights.
- 22 The park district has about -- and George can
- 23 help me here, but I think that they have
- 24 approximately 20,000 lights that would be a part

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 of this conversion. And I don't -- I think those
- 2 are all -- the 270 is the City; is that right?
- 3 MR. MAROUISOS: That's correct. And the
- 4 20,000 park lights, again, the reason we're not
- 5 saying let's change 100 percent is we are not --
- 6 there are certain kind of specialized lights, if
- 7 you will, we will call them euphemisms
- 8 particularly ornamental. And the products aren't
- 9 readily available to convert those to LED. So
- 10 once we get the common light fixtures changed and
- 11 we will see how much money -- the financial
- 12 capacity we have left to do the remainder. The
- 13 park lighting infrastructure has about 50
- 14 percent.
- 15 MR. SCOTT: Ornamental?
- MR. MARQUISOS: Yes, ornamental and
- 17 different kinds of lights. So we're still --
- 18 there's a question mark as to how many of those
- 19 are actually going to qualify. So we are taking
- 20 our bets as to how many lights the parks are
- 21 going to be.
- 22 MS. DARLING: And it's primarily park
- 23 pathways, Alderman. So that would be a part of
- 24 this. It wouldn't be stadium lighting or

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 additional kinds of lighting. Did I get your
- 2 question?
- 3 And then in addition we want to make
- 4 sure that when we are partnering with the City to
- 5 get these lights installed, that we are putting
- 6 in an LED lamp head on a pole and wiring that can
- 7 withstand having that lamp head for its lifespan
- 8 which is 10 to 15 years. So that means that we
- 9 are planning to do targeted infrastructure
- 10 stabilization which means that we will make sure
- 11 that that wiring and the pole can handle that
- 12 lamp head.
- 13 And so this isn't a project that we're
- 14 -- that is about aesthetics. So it won't be
- 15 something that necessarily makes everything brand
- 16 new, but it will stabilize the infrastructure to
- 17 make it more reliable and provide a better
- 18 quality of light.
- 19 MS. HUBBARD: And this may be something
- 20 that's to be determined, but I would assume that
- 21 the lighting brightness consistency is the same
- 22 throughout the whole City in every neighborhood?
- MS. DARLING: Well, actually the system
- 24 would allow us to make changes throughout the

- 1 City, neighborhood by neighborhood. If we are
- 2 able to implement it as we would like, it would
- 3 give us some flexibility in that arena to use
- 4 dimming, etcetera, that would give us some other
- 5 opportunities to do different things in different
- 6 neighborhoods.
- 7 The other thing we would like to do is
- 8 we want to make sure we are driving innovation
- 9 and using technology appropriately to deliver
- 10 better services as part of this project. We have
- 11 a section in our RFQ where we are interested in
- 12 learning about other innovative smart city type
- 13 ideas. However, based on the financial
- 14 constraints of this project, they have to be
- 15 budget neutral or budget positive. So we are
- 16 very open to them. We are also spending some
- 17 time talking to some educational institutions and
- 18 other labs that may be interested in partnering
- 19 with us. So we are looking at grants and other
- 20 funding opportunities.
- 21 This is the procurement schedule. So
- 22 I don't need to go through it. But as I already
- 23 said the responses are due May 20th to the RFQ.
- 24 We will hope to short list in end of June, early

- 1 July and hopefully bring some selected vendors
- 2 back to you at the end of this year with the goal
- 3 of first quarter of next year starting to do the
- 4 conversion.
- 5 So, George, can I ask you to talk a
- 6 little bit about the execution plan going
- 7 forward, anything I haven't covered so far.
- 8 MR. MARQUISOS: Sure. So this whole
- 9 question that Leslie brought up in her earlier
- 10 slide about what is smart lighting or why do we
- 11 keep talking about this and are we opening
- 12 ourselves to confusion with the smart city
- 13 initiative and all that kind of stuff.
- 14 So ultimately we are hoping that the
- 15 Trust provides value in trying to bring
- 16 everything in here as per its strategic plan to
- 17 kind of really be thoughtful about how we
- 18 implement this program. So it is being overseen
- 19 by the Trust, but it's very much a City of
- 20 Chicago program. It's a Department of
- 21 Transportation program. Let's be clear about
- 22 that. And we are trying to figure out a strategy
- 23 to implement it in a way that is going to be, you
- 24 know, drive the most benefit to the City.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- We've checked in with all the major
- 2 municipalities as to what they've done and there
- 3 is a wide variety of approaches on how you
- 4 implement this kind of modernization. New York,
- 5 for example, had Mayor Bloomberg give an
- 6 announcement and basically said we are going LED
- 7 from this point forward, more to follow. And
- 8 they basically implemented with very little
- 9 community input or communication strategy.
- 10 We think that Chicago is a different
- 11 context in that we have concerns about safety and
- 12 our lighting is such an integral part and we're
- 13 used to a lot of lighting, and the result of this
- 14 more directed light is going to change the
- 15 environment. So we feel like there has to be a
- 16 process in place for not only soliciting input on
- 17 what the neighborhood experience is, what's good
- 18 and what's bad about it; but also kind of
- 19 communicating now how things are happening, why
- 20 decisions are being made, how they are being
- 21 made, what balances were achieved.
- 22 So in addition to the RFQ-P process
- 23 that is kind of like the overarching execution
- 24 plan, if you will; we are committed to doing a

- 1 lot of things in parallel, right. So we are
- 2 trying to formulate a communication strategy
- 3 that's going to be very involved with the
- 4 Aldermen who are the representatives of their
- 5 constituency and who get all the calls about the
- 6 lighting.
- We are going to be talking
- 8 concurrently about what are the financing options
- 9 here. So ultimately we're saying we are going to
- 10 pay for this from the savings, but who are we
- 11 paying, right? What is the financing going to
- 12 -- so there's some attractive ideas out there
- 13 from the Department of Energy and other sources
- 14 and we are trying to see what we could qualify
- 15 for and bring the best value from a cost of
- 16 capital if you will.
- 17 Lighting specifications, I am pretty
- 18 sure a lot of people here are very interested in
- 19 like what is -- how much light are we going to
- 20 deliver with these LED's and where are you going
- 21 to put that light and what color is that light
- 22 going to be? Those are very critical issues that
- 23 a lot of people have very strong opinions about
- 24 and information about. And so we want to be very

- 1 thoughtful and smart about how we give the
- 2 vendors what we want, what the City of Chicago
- 3 wants in their lighting. It would be very easy
- 4 for us to say, okay, this is our HPS bulb, give
- 5 me an LED equivalent that does the same thing,
- 6 let me know how much it costs. We could do that
- 7 really quickly and save all this time and energy
- 8 and just -- give me a swap out.
- 9 That I believe is not what we consider
- 10 smart lighting, right. We want it to be -- we
- 11 have an opportunity here as we convert to this
- 12 digital technology to do something that I think
- 13 is -- provides something of a higher quality than
- 14 what we had in the past. And that's going to
- 15 require some very thoughtful conversations. And
- 16 we're lucky as Leslie has alluded to earlier,
- 17 this whole fact finding due diligence process
- 18 that we have been through the last five months; I
- 19 cannot tell you how many different entities have
- 20 come forth with like enthusiastic offers of help.
- 21 There's a lot of people out here who want us to
- 22 get this right be it the private sector, other
- 23 municipalities, the Department of Energy. This
- 24 is the largest lighting project in the country

- 1 and a lot of people are looking at it. If
- 2 Chicago does it right, then it could drive the
- 3 way other things are going. So we recognize
- 4 there is a responsibility here. We are going to
- 5 be thoughtful about it. We have great partners
- 6 at CDOT and the Department of Information
- 7 Technology. I am confident that we are going to
- 8 figure out how we are going to deliver a process
- 9 that really -- and we don't have all the answers
- 10 today. I can tell you that right now. But we
- 11 are beginning to understand the questions pretty
- 12 well.
- 13 You know, the other two bullet points
- 14 we talked about targeted infrastructure
- 15 improvements, right. We don't have the resource
- 16 to change out every pole and every wire. We know
- 17 that for sure. So where do we direct our
- 18 resources that kind of reduce the failure rate.
- 19 So where is the things that are really going to
- 20 make a meaningful difference. And in order to
- 21 make those kind of assessments, we are going to
- 22 have to have better information about the
- 23 condition of the lighting infrastructure. We
- 24 know where every pole and light is, we got it

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 down to a GPS point. We can put it on a map, but
- 2 we can't tell you the condition of each one of
- 3 those lights and the wire that feeds them. So we
- 4 are going to have to do some level of asset
- 5 assessment that informs how do we target our
- 6 limited resource to have the biggest impact. So
- 7 those are all things that are going on in
- 8 parallel to the RFQ-P. And, I don't know, Kevin,
- 9 do you have anything more you want to --
- 10 MR. O'MALLEY: No, I would just like to echo
- 11 what George has said about not having all the
- 12 answers yet. We don't have all the information
- 13 we need yet, but I want to commend them for
- 14 putting together a procurement process that I
- 15 think is really going to help drive that
- 16 information gathering and drive competition and
- 17 innovation and cost through the marketplace. So
- 18 I think that's important.
- 19 There is a really thoughtful process
- 20 here that is being laid out and I think it will
- 21 help us make our decision and make it right for
- 22 the City of Chicago.
- 23 MS. DARLING: Thanks.
- 24 MR. LINGENFELTER: So is that infrastructure

- 1 assessment part two? So we are going to provide
- 2 the final round bidders with that infrastructure
- 3 assessment or is coupled with --
- 4 MR. MARQUISOS: It would be great if we
- 5 could do that; but given the fact that we have
- 6 220,000 poles, the actual timing of going out and
- 7 assessing the condition of each one of them is
- 8 probably -- so we are -- right now CDOT has kind
- 9 of an independent track to kind of get that going
- 10 separately from this program. But we are also --
- 11 once we short list our people, we are going to
- 12 bring their input into can we get some of that
- 13 asset condition from them as they are doing
- 14 installations, right. So we want to do multiple
- 15 sources of collecting the data and kind of -- as
- 16 we know more, we're able to kind of better assess
- 17 what we should do.
- 18 MS. DARLING: Different cities are handling
- 19 it very differently on how they are doing their
- 20 infrastructure condition assessments.
- 21 MR. MARQUISOS: And that all ties into the
- 22 project phase. So do we give one contractor all
- 23 the lights and have it done in a year or there's
- 24 evidence to suggest that every time you bid out

- 1 these kinds of things, the bids get better and
- 2 more competitive. And not only because of the
- 3 technology gains that are happening in the
- 4 lighting industry, but as people learn more about
- 5 how this actually gets implemented realtime real
- 6 -- in the City, they get more competitive in
- 7 their quotes. So we have a whole project phasing
- 8 discussion that is still going on. So I just
- 9 want to make sure that people understand we are
- 10 taking the responsibility seriously and we have
- 11 really good people at the table to help us.
- 12 MR. LINGENFELTER: And I think that's
- 13 actually a critical strategic function of the
- 14 Trust is to cut through that uncertainty that the
- 15 private sector has around all of these government
- 16 agencies. So I am glad that we thought about
- 17 that in the timing.
- 18 MS. DARLING: Any other questions on street
- 19 lighting?
- 20 MR. SILVERS: Can I just get in for a
- 21 second? I don't have a question. I just want to
- 22 say though I think this is really impressive. I
- 23 think the approach that you all have outlined in
- 24 terms of both thinking about the technology, the

- 1 financing and the kind of -- I don't know the --
- 2 the dialogue with the community, I think all
- 3 these things are really good. And the potential
- 4 here to really -- to really take advantage of
- 5 what people call second mover advantage in this,
- 6 to be able to learn what other people have done,
- 7 have done well and done poorly is really -- is
- 8 really very smart. And the implic -- and there
- 9 is a potential here to do something that would
- 10 have real consequences nationwide. And so I just
- 11 want to say I am impressed by what the staff has
- 12 done.
- 13 MS. DARLING: Thank you.
- 14 MR. O'SHEA: I would just like to say myself
- 15 and my colleague, Alderman Scott, have a unique
- 16 perspective in that at any given point we have
- 17 hundreds and hundreds of street and alley lights
- 18 out in our communities. And just to kind of lay
- 19 this out for you, there are some folks that they
- 20 just like to look out their window and know that
- 21 the streetlights are on. They felt safer. And
- 22 then there are some folks that Chicago gangs have
- 23 control of their corner and if that block or
- 24 those several blocks around the lights are out, I

- 1 can assure you serious violent crime is going to
- 2 take place.
- 3 And Kevin will echo this in that when
- 4 it's a problem on your block, you don't want to
- 5 hear the excuse, you don't want to hear this is
- 6 going to take weeks at times with the amount of
- 7 outages that we have with the condition of the
- 8 infrastructure that we have in our streetlights
- 9 it can take weeks. And when you have a gang
- 10 problem on your block or you've been the victim
- 11 of a crime, you want it fixed. You want it fixed
- 12 now. This will fix it now. This will fix it
- 13 within hours. And I can't begin to tell you how
- 14 excited I am. This is going to be
- 15 groundbreaking. This is something the entire
- 16 county is going to say, wow, Chicago did it and
- 17 Chicago did it right.
- 18 MS. CAFARO: Alderman, I mentioned to Leslie
- 19 that our own University of Chicago crime lab is
- 20 working on a lot of studies regarding lighting
- 21 and crime with a lot of data and maybe we can
- 22 interact with them. I mean, there is the obvious
- 23 the lights are out, there is more crime. But
- 24 they're working with New York City in part in

- 1 their lighting and I would hope maybe we could
- 2 leverage some of their knowledge and information
- 3 too as we start thinking about the project. So.
- 4 MS. DARLING: I really appreciate you
- 5 bringing that to my attention, Debbie. I already
- 6 reached out to the Mayor's Office to ask -- they
- 7 work with the U of C crime lab consistently. So
- 8 I want to make sure that we make that connection.
- 9 MS. CAFARO: So if they have information
- 10 that we could benefit from, that would be just
- 11 additive.
- 12 MS. DARLING: Thank you. Anything else on
- 13 the streetlights?
- 14 MAN IN GALLEY: Could I ask a question?
- 15 MS. DARLING: We are going to have public
- 16 comment at the end of the meeting. So then
- 17 moving on quickly we could just cover where we
- 18 are with the Home Buyers Assistance which is a
- 19 program that was approved by the Board at the
- 20 January meeting. Since then there has been a
- 21 very lengthy process to get the program up and
- 22 running and we are just about there. We are
- 23 hoping to provide a lender list to the community
- 24 by May 1st. There are still some legal documents

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 to finalize and then the lenders have to be
- 2 approved by the City before the program can
- 3 actually launch.
- 4 But we are really excited that the
- 5 City has asked us to assist them in helping to
- 6 make homeownership possible for low and moderate
- 7 income families. This program provides up to 7
- 8 percent of the total loan amount based on income.
- 9 And you can receive a grant for up to 7 percent
- 10 and -- of that home price. And it can also be
- 11 used for refinancing. And the qualifying incomes
- 12 are going to be laid out and have been announced,
- 13 credit scores, etcetera; but each lender will
- 14 handle that slightly differently. So we are very
- 15 excited to be launching that in early May. And,
- 16 again, we have the job posting up for the program
- 17 analyst who will be managing that program
- 18 internally for us. So we are really excited to
- 19 get this up and running.
- 20 In the meantime the Mayor's Office and
- 21 Treasurer Summers' Office has been doing a
- 22 terrific job to spread the word on this project.
- 23 The Mayor has held or is in the process of
- 24 holding six service fairs of which the Home

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- Buyers Assistance Program has been a major part
- 2 of that -- of those. And Treasurer Summers has
- 3 been doing tremendous outreach for which we are
- 4 really grateful for in helping spread the word
- 5 both to neighborhood housing community groups and
- 6 lenders and banks, etcetera. So we are very
- 7 hopeful that the program will be well received
- 8 and we'll be able to help more people get into
- 9 homes with this down payment assistance.
- 10 So I just wanted to show you what our
- 11 role is going to be as the program administrator.
- 12 And we'll be primarily administering a grant
- 13 process and coordinating with lenders and the
- 14 escrows received to release funds and working
- 15 closely with the City. I won't go through all of
- 16 these, but I'm happy to share this with you.
- 17 After the meeting and just make sure you are up
- 18 to date, but as I said we are finalizing the
- 19 documents very soon and this will be up and
- 20 running shortly. So thank you for helping spread
- 21 the word.
- 22 I know Alderman O'Shea is hosting an
- 23 event in his ward coming up in May and we are
- 24 looking forward to participating in that and

- 1 grateful to you also for spreading the word about
- 2 this great program. Any questions on Home
- 3 Buyers? George will give a quick update on
- 4 Retrofit One.
- 5 MR. MARQUISOS: I hope this will be my last
- 6 update I give on Retrofit One. So it has been a
- 7 little more challenging than I had hoped to close
- 8 this thing out. As a reminder it was -- it did
- 9 impact 60 buildings, 114 separate projects. And
- 10 we are pleased to report that all of the closeout
- 11 documents have been received, all the training
- 12 has been done. We've got the computerized
- 13 maintenance management system populated with
- 14 preventive work orders that notifies 2FM when
- 15 work is needed to kind of maintain warranties and
- 16 optimum performance of their equipment.
- 17 We still have 7 projects of the 114
- 18 that have a couple of punch list items yet.
- 19 Those are getting wrapped up this week and next.
- 20 We have issued final acceptance certificates to
- 21 one of the three ESCOs. The other two are
- 22 pending those punch lists being completed and a
- 23 couple of other paperwork items regarding
- 24 certified payrolls and lien waivers and things

- 1 like that. Bottom line is I expect to have this
- 2 all wrapped up, I said this before I'll say it
- 3 again, in the next couple of weeks. So it's
- 4 challenging at the end of a project to kind of
- 5 get everything on it -- get everything done, but
- 6 we -- I think this is going to get wrapped up
- 7 shortly.
- 8 So that and then I will give you a
- 9 brief update about the performance period. So
- 10 once you implement energy efficiency measures,
- 11 you enter what is called the performance period.
- 12 And this one has a 14-year performance period,
- 13 right. So the ESCOs are guaranteeing us that
- 14 what they promise we would save, we would
- 15 actually save. And it's incumbent on them to
- 16 demonstrate it on an annual basis. And so we
- 17 received the first round of reports for the stub
- 18 year. This wasn't a full year. It's from April
- 19 to December of 2015. And all 3 ESCOs are
- 20 reporting that they have met or exceeded the
- 21 guaranteed savings they promised. We are
- 22 reviewing those reports. There are a couple of
- 23 buildings that still have questions associated
- 24 with them, but we are kind of negotiating that.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- The good news is that where savings
- 2 were somewhat less than we had hoped in certain
- 3 areas, they were the result of getting the
- 4 building automation system right. There are
- 5 complicated things when you automate the
- 6 operations of a building. And now that they are
- 7 operating correctly, it's taking a heating season
- 8 and a cooling season and another heating season
- 9 in order to get them right. They are in fact
- 10 exceeding the level of savings that were
- 11 predicted. So we expect 2016 the savings will be
- 12 in excess of what was predicted. So that's good
- 13 news.
- 14 The other part that we are still
- 15 working on is going after rebate incentives. We
- 16 received 462,000 to date. We've also received a
- 17 Key Account Award from the Department of Commerce
- 18 and Economic Opportunity for \$726,000 for
- 19 projects related to Retrofit One. That paperwork
- 20 is finalized. It's now incumbent on us to submit
- 21 the actual projects and then they in turn to
- 22 write us the check. So that's all very good
- 23 news. And there is a possibility of some
- 24 additional funding being available, but that 726

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 has been allocated specifically for the City of
- 2 Chicago and this project. So that money I think
- 3 is fairly certain if you could tell me that the
- 4 state was going to pass a budget. Okay. That's
- 5 my update. Yes.
- 6 MS. CAFARO: Do we typically require
- 7 holdbacks so that punch list items and things can
- 8 be more easily finished?
- 9 MR. MARQUISOS: Yes, we have 10 percent
- 10 retention. And so that's why those final pay
- 11 applications have not been issued.
- 12 MS. CAFARO: Okay. Thank you.
- 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: If nothing else then I
- 14 think that concludes the project updates and our
- 15 formal business. Next item is public comments.
- 16 Since I know we have a few folks, I would like to
- 17 ask folks who haven't addressed the Board
- 18 previously to go first. And so if you would be
- 19 interested in that, maybe come up and sit here at
- 20 the table. And we do ask people to limit their
- 21 comments to three minutes.
- 22 MS. DARLING: We have a -- we asked people
- 23 who wanted to speak to sign up so Patty is
- 24 handing you the sheet right now.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 MR. LINGENFELTER: Got it. All right. In
- 2 that case then -- we have -- I can't tell if
- 3 these are crossed out or these are --
- 4 MS. DOMINGUEZ: The ones that are crossed
- 5 out accidentally signed in. Audrey is the first.
- 6 MR. LINGENFELTER: All right. So then we
- 7 have Audrey Fischer first. You've addressed us
- 8 before. Anthony Harris -- make sure everybody is
- 9 here. Raise your hand, Anthony Harris.
- 10 MR. HARRIS: I'm hiding behind this large
- 11 pillar that's holding up the building.
- 12 MR. LINGENFELTER: What's that?
- 13 MR. HARRIS: I'm hiding behind this large
- 14 pillar that's holding up the building.
- 15 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. And then Juan and
- 16 Justin. Okay. And Bob Smith?
- 17 MR. SMITH: No, I thought that was a signup
- 18 sheet.
- 19 MR. LINGENFELTER: Okay. Got it. All
- 20 right. So those are the people that signed in.
- 21 Let's start with -- and, Audrey, if you could
- 22 just -- why don't we start with Juan and Justin.
- 23 Why don't you guys come up and grab a seat.
- 24 MS. DARLING: Come on up and come -- I want

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 to recognize that Juan and Justin are here on
- 2 their own spring break taking the time to
- 3 participate in this process.
- 4 MR. LINGENFELTER: You can sit here at the
- 5 table, guys.
- 6 MS. DARLING: So we want to thank them for
- 7 coming. I got a call from their principal the
- 8 other day who asked if they could join us to
- 9 provide some comments. They are working on a
- 10 class project on street lighting and our skies
- 11 and so they wanted to participate. So we are
- 12 happy to have them.
- 13 MR. JULIAN: Thank you for having us. We
- 14 appreciate your time. We have a small speech
- 15 prepared for you. I understand it has to be less
- 16 than three minutes, so hopefully we will not go
- 17 over it.
- 18 So good morning all, to the Board, my
- 19 name is Justin Julian and I am a student here at
- 20 Amundsen High School. I am joined here today by
- 21 my colleague, Juan Chavira and we are here today
- 22 on a mission to hopefully change Chicago forever.
- Juan and I are not from the same
- 24 background. We are not in the same grade. We

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 don't even have the same friends, but there is
- 2 one thing that brought us here together and that
- 3 is our interest in the night sky. But night sky
- 4 that Juan and I see in Chicago is not the same
- 5 one that previous generations of Chicago have
- 6 looked at.
- When Juan and I and other Chicago kids
- 8 look up and see the visible stars like a
- 9 constellation such as Leo, many stars are
- 10 missing. The night sky in Chicago is not what it
- 11 once was. And we fear that it is dying.
- 12 Something that is causing our night
- 13 sky to be stripped of its former glory, it is
- 14 also causing harmful changes to natural habitats
- 15 of many species including our own and that
- 16 something is light pollution. And we right now
- 17 have an opportunity to drastically reduce light
- 18 pollution in Chicago and the night sky.
- 19 The Board also has the power to bring
- 20 back the stars for not only myself, Juan and
- 21 other Chicagoans. And Juan also has some words
- 22 to introduce of his own. And I will now allow
- 23 him to do so and thank you so much.
- 24 MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you, Justin.

- 1 MR. CHAVIRA: Thank you, Justin. Good
- 2 morning, my name is Juan Chavira and I am here to
- 3 introduce to you the world of light pollution.
- 4 Light pollution is a brightening of the night sky
- 5 caused by man-made light sources such as
- 6 streetlights.
- Now, I am not suggesting that we get
- 8 rid of streetlights, but what I'm suggesting is
- 9 that Chicago streetlights need to be properly
- 10 shielded. Full cutoff lighting also its -- also
- 11 as it's known directs light downward where we
- 12 need it and out of the night sky. Full cutoff
- 13 lighting is smart lighting and smart lighting is
- 14 what we are here today to discuss. I assume by
- 15 now we are all wondering does it really matter
- 16 that some light escapes into the night sky?
- 17 Well, to be frank with you, ladies and gentlemen,
- 18 that depends on what you value.
- 19 Light pollution clouds the night sky
- 20 covering millions of stars and our planets from
- 21 our view. It blocks off something that so many
- 22 people have come to love, draw inspiration from
- 23 and rely on. To rob our future generations of
- 24 what has made our life so magical and significant

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 is unfair and will harm them more than we can
- 2 imagine.
- We are here today because the Board is
- 4 about to purchase on behalf of all Chicagoans a
- 5 tremendous number of streetlights. When you make
- 6 that purchase I hope you consider that the
- 7 sustainable Chicago environment the Mayor wants
- 8 cannot be achieved by LED energy savings alone.
- 9 Research out of Stanford and other
- 10 universities is showing that light pollution is
- 11 impacting human health in significant ways and we
- 12 cannot simply wait for the next time Chicago
- 13 replaces its lights to do something about it. By
- 14 then another generation of kids would have grown
- 15 up under a dome of artificial light. By then the
- 16 entire generation of Chicagoans would have grown
- 17 up without ever seeing a star unite in Chicago.
- 18 In closing, I wish to thank you for
- 19 your attention this morning and to encourage you
- 20 to support the procurement of the dark sky
- 21 friendly lighting and fulfillment of the task
- 22 ahead. Thank you.
- 23 MR. JULIAN: We also have a transcript copy
- 24 of it.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you, again, both
- 2 very much. Did Anthony Harris, did you want to
- 3 address the Board?
- 4 MR. HARRIS: That's a tough act to follow.
- 5 First the kids now the old cranky White guy.
- 6 Anyhow, good morning. I would like to thank the
- 7 Members of the Board for this opportunity to
- 8 speak before them. My name is Tony Harris and I
- 9 am a retired forensic chemist and part-time
- 10 amateur astronomer and according to my girlfriend
- 11 I'm a full-time light critic.
- 12 When I was a child I could look up and
- 13 marvel at the night sky in my south side backyard
- 14 of Chicago. Sadly this is no longer the case for
- 15 even the outer suburbs that are now a wash in
- 16 light pollution. Consequently I travel to New
- 17 Mexico to see a light full of stars.
- 18 During my travels across the U.S. I've
- 19 seen many different types of streetlights and
- 20 lighting. Some are very efficient and supremely
- 21 well designed. However, the majority are
- 22 designed very poorly producing glare, light
- 23 pollution and wasting energy.
- 24 A similar problem also is the fact

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 with car headlights. In fact, recently the
- 2 National Highway Safety Council -- National
- 3 Highway Safety Council came up with statistics
- 4 that only 1 in 31 headlights are designed
- 5 properly and that's because there is just as in
- 6 the lighting industry there is a greater emphasis
- 7 on the design or appearance of the lighting
- 8 fixture than the function. And this is a
- 9 violation of the edict that form follows
- 10 function.
- 11 Another difficulty is that adversity
- 12 affects lighting is that manufactures use root
- 13 force brightness as a selling point rather than
- 14 focus on the quality of the illumination.
- 15 Consequently most streetlights even the new LED's
- 16 produce tremendous amounts of unnecessary glare.
- 17 Glare reduces visibility and hinders security
- 18 cameras. Chicago is possibly the most light
- 19 polluted City in the world and needs quality
- 20 lighting rather than more lighting.
- 21 Another point for decades the holy
- 22 grail of the lighting industry was the
- 23 development of the blue LED. Its inventors were
- 24 rightly awarded a Nobel Prize for this in 2014.

- 1 The blue white light with the blue tint is good
- 2 for lighting for homes or in sports stadiums, but
- 3 not good as a streetlight. Although these are no
- 4 becoming the most common streetlight, the problem
- 5 is that the blue light scatters the most. It
- 6 produces a five fold increase in light pollution.
- 7 Research also indicates that these
- 8 lights will have a negative effect on biological
- 9 systems. Many plants require darkness in order
- 10 to grow and development properly. Trees take a
- 11 cue for the shortening of daylight to prepare for
- 12 the winter when carbohydrates in their leaves --
- 13 and store it in their roots. Exposure to bright
- 14 blue rich light can result in trees missing this
- 15 important cue. This may damage the trees in
- 16 Chicago and Chicago has already lost enough trees
- 17 because of their species. Bright white light
- 18 with a blue rich spectrum also has the capacity
- 19 to direct the circadian rhythms in many animals
- 20 including humans.
- In a recent study -- a recent Stanford
- 22 study by Dr. Maurice Ohayon indicates the
- 23 disruptive effects for humans. How severe these
- 24 effects will be will take years of research to

- 1 determine. However, it seems clear that the blue
- 2 LED's with a temperature of 4,000 degrees kelvin
- 3 are more disruptive than the warmer LED's with a
- 4 temperature of 2800 kelvin or less, lower.
- 5 Another point is that there is a real
- 6 big misconception that in order to have a sky
- 7 with stars, that we must sacrifice public safety.
- 8 Nothing could be further from the truth.
- 9 Controlling light pollution is about insuring
- 10 that the light is aimed to where it's needed.
- 11 Its hue is about 2800 kelvin and turning off the
- 12 lights will not -- turning off lights are not
- 13 needed to save energy.
- 14 Unless light pollution is reduced
- 15 generations of children in Chicago and its
- 16 suburbs will never know the marvel or wonder of a
- 17 sky full of stars. It seems to me this should be
- 18 a birthright for every child.
- 19 In conclusion it is my hope that the
- 20 Board will select full cutoff fixtures with
- 21 temperatures of 28 degrees kelvin that follow the
- 22 International Dark Sky Association guidelines and
- 23 bring back the stars to the children of Chicago.
- 24 Thank you very much.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you. Next we have
- 2 Audrey.
- 3 MS. FISCHER: Thank you. I brought some
- 4 papers here today. This was actually sent to me
- 5 from a friend in Italy about light pollution and
- 6 I have copies for each one of you. If I run out,
- 7 we will e-mail it or do it green.
- We are in the midst of a historical
- 9 crossroads. I can't tell you how much this issue
- 10 means to me. But the global revolution to switch
- 11 over to LED's this is our best and last chance to
- 12 restore starlight within our lifetime especially
- 13 over cities where it's proven by the thousand
- 14 documents to prove that light pollution is
- 15 harmful to human health. It can prevent cancer
- 16 patients from getting -- from recovering if they
- 17 are taking Tamoxifen, a chemotherapy drug. I am
- 18 a cancer patient.
- 19 It's harmful to the environment, the
- 20 ecosystems. We have chance to reduce light
- 21 pollution and not put this City at an epic
- 22 proportion to restore starlight over this town
- 23 and still give our citizens safe and effective
- 24 lighting, exactly what they need.

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 For the Mayor to say we have the
- 2 largest lighting installation in the world and
- 3 he's right because we have the most light
- 4 polluted City in the world verified by satellite
- 5 measurements. And to say that we are going to
- 6 change those amber lights to a new color which is
- 7 bright white blue rich LED's, is totally
- 8 irresponsible. And you are giving away the night
- 9 sky and the last chance in our lifetime to give
- 10 starlight to every person in this City and have
- 11 happier citizens, healthier citizens, healthier
- 12 ecosystem without giving up anything.
- 13 You can still have -- you can still
- 14 have the money savings. I love the smart light
- 15 initiative. I have been fighting this for over
- 16 12 years. And talking to Richard Wainscoat which
- 17 you will see his name is on this paper. He says
- 18 there's no restoring starlight over the City of
- 19 Chicago. It can't happen unless they replace all
- 20 the lights. He said they're not going to do
- 21 that. I said they are going to. It's going to
- 22 happen.
- 23 And now you are committed to changing
- 24 all the lights. It's a prayer come true. But if

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 you switch over to bright light LED's, we will
- 2 have five times more light pollution and we threw
- 3 away our chance to give stars back to the City.
- 4 Please, I beg you. Ban blue rich lights in the
- 5 City. I beg you, please. End the streetlights
- 6 to the keyhole to the front door lighting mandate
- 7 in this City which demands light trespass onto
- 8 private property.
- 9 And who -- I don't blame Mayor J.
- 10 Daley for implementing. God bless him. He
- 11 wanted to reduce crime in the City. He didn't
- 12 care how much it costs, increase the lighting,
- 13 turn night into day. He didn't know about the
- 14 circadian disruption reports or the consensus of
- 15 scientists from around the world in all different
- 16 fields that say it's harmful to people. And to
- 17 ignore that is irresponsible. It deserves a
- 18 class action lawsuit. That's not the point. I
- 19 would rather Chicago be the model for the world.
- 20 Because if the most light polluted City in the
- 21 world can restore starlight over this town while
- 22 still giving effective beautiful efficient safe
- 23 lighting, the world can do it.
- I believe in you guys. Please, I beg

Chicago Infrastructure Trust Meeting - April 20, 2016

- 1 you to end the light trespass. A streetlight
- 2 should not be -- a streetlight should aim down
- 3 like a desk lamp. A desk lamp lights a desk.
- 4 It's tasked with lighting the top of the desk.
- 5 Not the walls, not the ceiling. A streetlight
- 6 should light the street and it should not go into
- 7 private property.
- 8 MR. LINGENFELTER: Thank you very much, Miss
- 9 Fischer. Any other public comment? Is there a
- 10 reason for executive session? I don't believe
- 11 so, but --
- 12 MS. DARLING: No.
- 13 MR. LINGENFELTER: If not then I entertain a
- 14 motion to adjourn.
- MS. CAFARO: So moved.
- 16 MR. RAMIREZ: Second.
- 17 MR. LINGENFELTER: Second by Mr. Ramirez.
- 18 No objection then we are adjourned.
- 19 (Whereupon the meeting
- 20 adjourned at 11:53 a.m.)

21

22

23

24

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) ss:
3	COUNTY OF L A K E)
4	
5	Julie Walsh, being first duly sworn,
6	on oath says that she is a court reporter doing
7	business in the City of Chicago; and that she
8	reported in shorthand the proceedings of said
9	meeting on April 20, 2016, and that the foregoing
10	is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand
11	notes so taken as aforesaid, and contains the
12	proceedings given at said meeting.
13	
14	
15	(Aliellas
16	Julie/Walsh, CSR OFFICIAL SEAL
17	License No. 084-004032 JULIE WALSH Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Aug 5, 2016
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

			1	April 20, 2
	additional (10)	Aggregation (3)	announcement (1)	associated (2)
\$	4:3;6:9,10;10:1,23;	14:12,15;15:2	37:6	19:12;50:23
Ф	13:8;18:8,15;34:1;	agile (1)	annual (1)	Association (2)
	51:24	20:9	50:16	22:17;61:22
5726,000 (1)				,
51:18	additionally (1)	agnostic (1)	Anthony (4)	assume (2)
	19:20	12:7	25:14;53:8,9;58:2	34:20;56:14
\mathbf{A}	additive (1)	agreement (1)	Antonio (2)	assure (1)
	46:11	7:5	9:7,8	45:1
able (12)	address (1)	ahead (3)	appearance (1)	astronomer (1)
14:7,17;15:10,14;	58:3	6:8;7:16;57:22	59:7	58:10
	addressed (2)	aim (2)	applications (1)	attempting (1)
25:11;27:23;28:8;	52:17;53:7	16:12;65:2	52:11	12:16
30:10;35:2;42:16;	addressing (1)	aimed (1)	appreciate (3)	attention (4)
44:6;48:8	29:3	61:10	24:10;46:4;54:14	
ably (1)				18:1;29:17;46:5;
27:2	adeu (1)	Alderman (5)	approach (2)	57:19
accelerate (2)	2:15	32:13;33:23;44:15;	12:4;43:23	attractive (2)
16:1;25:19	adjourn (1)	45:18;48:22	approaches (1)	6:20;38:12
accelerators (1)	65:14	Aldermen (2)	37:3	audit (3)
7:24	adjourned (2)	32:9;38:4	appropriately (1)	6:22;7:7,14
	65:18,20	Alexander-Jenkins (1)	35:9	Audrey (4)
acceptance (1)	administering (1)	7:9	appropriations (2)	53:5,7,21;62:2
49:20	48:12	aligned (2)	17:5,9	
access (2)				automate (1)
15:13,16	administrator (1)	19:23;21:11	approval (2)	51:5
accidentally (1)	48:11	alley (1)	2:16;4:24	automation (1)
53:5	adopt (1)	44:17	approve (3)	51:4
according (1)	4:21	Alliance (3)	2:17;4:6;5:10	availability (4)
58:10	adopted (1)	8:21;25:15;27:6	approved (4)	17:3,3,7,19
	6:15	allocated (1)	3:17,19;46:19;47:2	available (5)
Account (1)	adopter (1)	52:1	approximately (3)	8:8;12:17;29:15;
51:17	27:17	allow (2)	31:10,12;32:24	33:9;51:24
accountant (1)				
7:10	advance (1)	34:24;55:22	April (3)	Award (1)
accurately (1)	8:17	alluded (1)	6:16;50:18;66:9	51:17
3:6	advantage (2)	39:16	areas (1)	awarded (1)
achievable (1)	44:4,5	alone (1)	51:3	59:24
10:16	adversity (1)	57:8	arena (1)	aware (6)
	59:11	alternative (6)	35:3	3:24;7:18;10:14;
achieve (2)	advertised (1)	11:3,24;13:16,24;	around (7)	11:14;14:5;29:16
14:19;17:15	6:6	16:6;18:3	14:6;17:2;22:4;	
nchieved (2)				away (2)
37:21;57:8	advocacy (1)	Although (1)	25:1;43:15;44:24;	63:8;64:3
eross (1)	7:20	60:3	64:15	ayes (1)
58:18	advocate (1)	amateur (1)	artificial (1)	5:15
Act (6)	8:10	58:10	57:15	
	aesthetics (1)	amber (1)	assess (1)	В
4:20;11:23;13:14;	34:14	63:6	42:16	
14:1,14;58:4	affects (1)	ambitious (2)	assessing (1)	back (8)
ecting (1)	, ,	, ,	0 , ,	
13:17	59:12	10:16;23:9	42:7	9:2,3,16;16:14;
ection (1)	aforesaid (1)	amount (7)	assessment (3)	36:2;55:20;61:23;
64:18	66:11	17:18;21:21;27:11;	41:5;42:1,3	64:3
ectivities (1)	again (8)	28:7;29:17;45:6;47:8	assessments (2)	background (1)
9:22	9:16;12:7;15:11;	amounts (1)	40:21;42:20	54:24
actual (3)	20:6;33:4;47:16;50:3;	59:16	asset (6)	backyard (1)
12:8;42:6;51:21	58:1	Amundsen (1)	12:5,7;16:21;18:14;	58:13
	agencies (17)	54:20	41:4;42:13	bad (1)
ectually (11)	7:24;11:5,10,18,21;	analysis (1)	assist (5)	37:18
25:8;26:18;28:4;				l .
29:23;33:19;34:23;	12:1;13:10,16;14:10,	20:20	12:16;15:15;19:6;	balances (1)
43:5,13;47:3;50:15;	16;15:15;16:1;20:11;	analyst (4)	25:11;47:5	37:21
62:4	23:4,8;25:18;43:16	6:4,10,10;47:17	Assistance (7)	Ban (1)
neuity (1)	agency (3)	Angeles (1)	2:12;6:5;7:2;19:22;	64:4
	17:5,14;21:17	27:20	46:18;48:1,9	bandwidth (3)
31:16	agenda (3)	animals (1)	assisted (2)	14:5,10;15:9
add (2)	2:7,10;8:12	60:19	9:7;27:2	banks (1)
25:13,22				48:6
	aggregating (1)	announced (1)	assisting (3)	46.0
nddition (3) 31:13;34:3;37:22	14:18	47:12	7:6,13;18:24	based (4)

		1	T	April 20, 2010
17:4;22:6;35:13;	64:9	10:7,9	13;64:21,23	34:24;55:14
47:8	bless (1)	bucket (1)	cancer (2)	changing (1)
basically (2)	64:10	18:18	62:15,18	63:23
37:6,8	block (3)	Budescu (6)	capabilities (8)	chase (1)
basis (1)	44:23;45:4,10	8:18;9:11;18:6;	13:2,4;15:21;20:16;	11:12
50:16	blocks (2)	24:6,8,18	22:23;23:14,16,22	Chavira (3)
beautiful (1)	44:24;56:21	budget (4)	capacity (4)	54:21;56:1,2
64:22	Bloomberg (1)	6:22;35:15,15;52:4	11:4;21:24;33:12;	check (1)
become (1)	37:5	build (4)	60:18	51:22
23:3	blue (9)	22:12,12;23:13,21	capital (5)	checked (1)
becoming (2)	59:23;60:1,1,5,14,	building (5)	15:14,17,19;16:23;	37:1
22:21;60:4	18;61:1;63:7;64:4	24:2;51:4,6;53:11,	38:16	chemist (1)
beg (3)	Board (17)	14	capture (1)	58:9
64:4,5,24	2:9;3:19,24;6:2;	buildings (2)	19:2	chemotherapy (1)
begin (1)	9:11;10:1,22;20:8;	49:9;50:23	car (1)	62:17
45:13	25:21;46:19;52:17;	builds (1)	59:1	Chicago (41)
beginning (1)	54:18;55:19;57:3;	21:10	carbohydrates (1)	2:8;4:18;8:1;11:2;
40:11	58:3,7;61:20	bulb (1)	60:12	16:9,19;26:10;27:16;
behalf (1)	Bob (1)	39:4	care (1)	28:15;30:20,21;31:9;
57:4	53:16	bullet (1)	64:12	36:20;37:10;39:2;
behind (3)	body (1)	40:13	Carol (1)	40:2;41:22;44:22;
18:12;53:10,13	5:6	bundling (1)	10:7	45:16,17,19;52:2;
below (1)	bono (2)	14:19	carries (1)	54:22;55:4,5,7,10,18;
17:13	7:2;27:6	business (3)	5:16	56:9;57:7,12,17;
Benecchi (2)	both (9)	2:16;52:15;66:7	case (4)	58:14;59:18;60:16,
9:7,10	2:11;6:1;12:5;	businesses (2)	11:18;21:13;53:2;	16;61:15,23;63:19;
beneficial (1)	15:22;17:8;29:17;	30:8,8	58:14	64:19;66:7
26:14	43:24;48:5;58:1	buy (1)	caused (1)	Chicagoans (3)
benefit (2)	Bottom (1)	21:16	56:5	55:21;57:4,16
36:24;46:10	50:1	Buyer (2)	causing (2)	child (2)
benefits (1)	box (1)	2:12;6:4	55:12,14	58:12;61:18
6:19	25:6	Buyers (5)	CCA (2)	children (2)
best (5)	brand (1)	19:22;22:17;46:18;	8:22;9:8	61:15,23
4:16;15:18;27:21;	34:15	48:1;49:3	CDOT (5)	Chorus (1)
38:15;62:11	break (1)		10:8;26:22;32:9;	5:15
bets (1)	54:2	C	40:6;42:8	circadian (2)
33:20	brief (1)	CAEADO (C)	ceiling (1)	60:19;64:14
better (9)	50:9	CAFARO (6)	65:5	CIT (20)
31:8,8,9;32:7;	bright (4)	8:13;45:18;46:9;	Center (1)	6:20;8:21;9:22;
34:17;35:10;40:22;	60:13,17;63:7;64:1 brightening (1)	52:6,12;65:15	30:2	10:14,15;11:16;13:9,
42:16;43:1 beyond (2)	56:4	calendar (2)	centers (1) 25:1	14;14:1,13;15:3,8,14;
	brightness (2)	3:20,22		19:21,24;21:9,12,21; 24:5;28:23
12:11;24:14	34:21;59:13	call (6) 2:7;32:8,9;33:7;	certain (4) 15:16;33:6;51:2;	cities (5)
bid (1) 42:24	bring (10)	44:5;54:7	52:3	27:16,19,20;42:18;
42.24 bidders (1)	6:8;7:20;14:8;	called (2)	certificates (1)	62:13
42:2	25:17;36:1,15;38:15;	17:2;50:11	49:20	citizens (4)
bids (1)	42:12;55:19;61:23	calls (1)	certified (2)	32:8;62:23;63:11,
43:1	bringing (2)	38:5	49:24;66:17	11
big (3)	7:14;46:5	came (2)	challenging (2)	City (71)
18:18;22:15;61:6	brings (1)	12:2;59:3	49:7;50:4	4:9;5:3,5;10:8;11:4,
biggest (1)	15:9	cameras (1)	champion (1)	10,18,20;12:1,9;
41:6	broad (3)	59:18	15:6	13:10,15,23;14:8,9;
bill (1)	16:11;20:16;21:5	can (40)	chance (5)	15:9;16:13;18:4,22;
31:21	broader (5)	3:7,9,10,11;8:11;	9:5;62:11,20;63:9;	19:10;20:10;23:4,8;
biological (1)	12:4,15;14:16;	13:14,18;14:1,19;	64:3	24:19;25:7,9,20;
60:8	16:13;24:23	17:19;18:2;20:5;24:1;	change (8)	26:16,22,24;27:16;
birthright (1)	broadly (2)	25:22;28:2;29:5;31:8;	28:16,19;31:15;	28:9,10,18,21,23;
61:18	24:14;25:16	32:22;34:6,11;36:5;	33:5;37:14;40:16;	30:20,21;31:1,9;32:8,
bit (6)	brought (4)	40:10;41:1;42:12;	54:22;63:6	19,20,21;33:2;34:4,
9:16;12:7;16:18;	6:14;36:9;55:2;	43:20;45:1,9,21;47:2,	changed (2)	22;35:1,12;36:12,19,
/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
23:11:24:13:36:6	62:3	9.10:52:7:54:4:57:1	32:10:33:10	24:39:2:41:22:43:6:
23:11;24:13;36:6 blame (1)	62:3 Brown (2)	9,10;52:7;54:4;57:1; 60:14;62:15;63:13,	32:10;33:10 changes (2)	24;39:2;41:22;43:6; 45:24;47:2,5;48:15;

				- '
52:1;59:19;62:21;	Commissioner (2)	44:10	coordinator (1)	crisper (1)
63:4,10,18;64:3,5,7,	10:8;26:19	Consequently (2)	5:24	25:21
11,20;66:7	commitment (1)	58:16;59:15	copies (1)	critic (1)
City's (3)	30:20	consider (4)	62:6	58:11
11:14;31:3,10	committed (2)	3:17,19;39:9;57:6	copy (1)	critical (8)
Civic (2)	37:24;63:23	consistency (1)	57:23	9:21;11:11;12:19,
8:21;25:1	committee (1)	34:21	core (4)	21;22:11;23:3;38:22;
clarify (1)	10:6	consistent (1)	19:13,14;20:1;	43:13
9:19	common (2)	16:4	25:22	crossed (2)
class (4)	33:10;60:4	consistently (1)	corner (1)	53:3,4
12:5,7;54:10;64:18	communicating (1)	46:7	44:23	crossroads (1)
classic (1)	37:19	consists (1)	corporate (1)	62:9
18:4	communication (2)	30:16	4:16	cue (2)
clear (2)	37:9;38:2	constellation (1)	corrected (1)	60:11,15
36:21;61:1	communities (2)	55:9	3:12	currently (1)
clients (2)	28:21;44:18	constituency (1)	correctly (1)	14:9
11:17;23:19	community (5)	38:5	51:7	curve (1)
close (2)	29:2;37:9;44:2;	constraint (1)	cost (2)	13:18
19:17;49:7	46:23;48:5	16:18	38:15;41:17	cut (1)
closed (1)	company (1)	constraints (3)	costs (4)	43:14
22:19	7:3	10:15;17:18;35:14	16:2,23;39:6;64:12	cutoff (3)
closely (2)	competition (1)	construction (3)	Council (3)	56:10,12;61:20
27:1;48:15	41:16	17:9;19:18;21:2	4:9;59:2,3	-
closeout (1)	competitive (2)	Consulting (2)	country (5)	D
49:10	43:2,6	8:21;25:15	8:2;14:6;17:2;25:1;	
closest (1)	complementary (1)	contains (1)	39:24	Daley (1)
26:21	11:9	66:11	county (2)	64:10
closing (2)	completed (1)	context (2)	45:16;66:3	damage (1)
6:24;57:18	49:22	22:5;37:11	couple (6)	60:15
clouds (1)	completely (1)	continue (3)	6:8;8:5;49:18,23;	Damon (3)
56:19	22:21	12:13;22:24;27:2	50:3,22	2:24;3:2,10
club (1) 25:15	complex (3)	continues (1) 4:10	coupled (1) 42:3	dark (2)
Coast (2)	13:19;25:10;28:8	contractor (1)	course (4)	57:20;61:22
8:3;27:4	complicated (1) 51:5	42:22	13:1;28:2;29:7;	darkness (1) 60:9
cognizant (1)	comprehensive (1)	contribute (1)	31:2	DARLING (31)
26:9	20:15	26:2	court (1)	2:24;3:9,21;4:15;
colleague (2)	computerized (1)	control (1)	66:6	5:4,17;8:16;17:21;
44:15;54:21	49:12	44:23	courthouses (1)	24:9;26:5,8;29:7,12;
collecting (1)	concerns (1)	controlled (2)	24:24	30:18;31:5;32:15,19,
42:15	37:11	28:3;32:1	cover (1)	21;33:22;34:23;
College (1)	concessions (1)	Controlling (1)	46:17	41:23;42:18;43:18;
30:2	16:19	61:9	covered (1)	44:13;46:4,12,15;
color (3)	concludes (1)	convening (1)	36:7	52:22;53:24;54:6;
31:15;38:21;63:6	52:14	8:5	covering (1)	65:12
Colorado (1)	conclusion (1)	conversation (2)	56:20	data (2)
8:3	61:19	7:22;13:7	crafting (2)	42:15;45:21
coming (4)	concurrently (1)	conversations (4)	10:12,20	date (2)
7:17;20:19;48:23;	38:8	12:3;13:5;22:6;	cranky (1)	48:18;51:16
54:7	condition (6)	39:15	58:5	dates (1)
commenced (1)	40:23;41:2;42:7,13,	conversion (5)	create (2)	4:1
2:2	20;45:7	27:18;28:19;31:20;	12:23;28:15	David (1)
commend (1)	conference (4)	33:1;36:4	created (2)	10:9
41:13	29:23;30:1,2,5	conversions (1)	10:5;21:8	day (2)
comment (2)	confident (1)	27:15	creating (2)	54:8;64:13
46:16;65:9	40:7	convert (2)	16:4,7	daylight (1)
comments (5)	confusion (1)	33:9;39:11	creative (1)	60:11
4:14;25:12;52:15,	36:12	converting (1)	11:13	days (1)
21;54:9	connection (1)	31:10	credit (1)	8:5
Commerce (1)	46:8	cooling (1)	47:13	DC (1)
51:17	consensus (1)	51:8	crime (7)	7:23
commercial (1)	64:14	coordinating (1)	45:1,11,19,21,23;	deal (1)
25:15	consequences (1)	48:13	46:7;64:11	3:7
				1

		T		April 20, 201
Debbie (1)	develop (1)	50:5	35:17	entire (2)
46:5	22:23	door (1)	effect (1)	45:15;57:16
decades (1)	development (5)	64:6	60:8	entities (2)
59:21	18:16;23:15,18;	down (3)	effective (2)	11:19;39:19
December (2)	59:23;60:10	41:1;48:9;65:2	62:23;64:22	entity (1)
3:23;50:19	dialogue (1)	downward (1)	effects (2)	25:17
decide (1)	44:2	56:11	60:23,24	environment (3)
30:10	difference (1)	Dr (1)	Efficiency (2)	37:15;57:7;62:19
decision (1)	40:20	60:22	27:5;50:10	envision (1)
41:21	different (9)	drastically (1)	efficient (2)	20:17
decisions (1)	5:4;33:17;35:5,5;	55:17	58:20;64:22	epic (1)
37:20	37:10;39:19;42:18;	draw (1)	eight (1)	62:21
dedicated (1)	58:19;64:15	56:22	26:16	equipment (1)
11:23	differently (2)	drive (5)	either (1)	49:16
define (3)	42:19;47:14	21:14;36:24;40:2;	19:18	equivalent (1)
9:19,21;25:21	difficulty (1)	41:15,16	elements (1)	39:5
degrees (2)	59:11	driven (2)	9:21	escapes (1)
61:2,21	digital (1)	12:24;13:5	elevate (1)	56:16
deliver (8)	39:12	drivers (1)	7:21	ESCO (1)
11:5;19:16;28:12,	diligence (2)	23:15	else (2)	18:10
24;31:8;35:9;38:20;	26:13;39:17	driving (1)	46:12;52:13	ESCOs (3)
40:8	dimming (1)	35:8	e-mail (5)	49:21;50:13,19
delivering (1)	35:4	drug (1)	3:11,14,18;29:14;	escrows (1)
19:23	direct (2)	62:17	62:7	48:14
delivery (14)	40:17;60:19	due (4)	emergency (2)	especially (2)
11:3,22,24;12:17,	directed (1)	26:13;30:12;35:23;	4:4,4	15:7;62:12
18;13:17,22;14:4;	37:14	39:17	emphasis (1)	establish (3)
16:2,6;18:3;21:15;	Director (3)	duly (1)	59:6	12:21;22:16,22
25:2;28:9	5:12,13,21	66:5	enable (1)	etcetera (4)
demands (1)	Directors (1)	During (3)	15:24	4:21;35:4;47:13;
64:7	2:9	7:22;8:20;58:18	encourage (2)	48:6
demonstrate (2)	directs (1) 56:11	dying (1) 55:11	30:7;57:19	euphemisms (1) 33:7
23:7;50:16 department (9)	discuss (1)	33:11	end (7) 4:5;35:24;36:2;	even (7)
13:19;14:9;26:19;	56:14	E	46:16;50:4;64:5;65:1	14:16;20:21;24:23;
27:3;36:20;38:13;	discussed (2)	E	energy (13)	29:10;55:1;58:15;
39:23;40:6;51:17	4:1;22:14	earlier (2)	12:6;24:15;27:3,5;	59:15
departments (3)	discussing (1)	36:9;39:16	31:18,21;38:13;39:7,	event (1)
14:15;26:16;27:1	14:23	earliest (1)	23;50:10;57:8;58:23;	48:23
depend (2)	discussion (3)	27:17	61:13	events (1)
23:24;24:19	9:6;24:12;43:8	early (3)	engagement (6)	7:19
depends (1)	disruption (1)	8:5;35:24;47:15	9:14,18;10:11,17,	eventually (1)
56:18	64:14	easily (1)	19;13:6	23:3
Deputy (2)	disruptive (2)	52:8	engaging (1)	everybody (2)
10:7;26:18	60:23;61:3	easy (1)	28:20	26:4;53:8
described (1)	district (4)	39:3	enhance (1)	everyone (2)
28:7	26:17;27:2;32:17,	echo (2)	16:2	28:14;29:9
deserves (1)	22	41:10;45:3	enhanced (1)	everyone's (1)
64:17	Document (5)	Economic (1)	23:18	26:9
design (1)	4:14;5:2;9:1;26:15;	51:18	enjoying (1)	evidence (1)
59:7	29:21	economics (1)	7:12	42:24
designed (3)	documents (5)	21:14	enough (2)	exact (1)
58:21,22;59:4	8:17;46:24;48:19;	economies (1)	14:11;60:16	31:1
desk (4)	49:11;62:14	14:20	enriched (1)	exactly (3)
65:3,3,3,4	dome (1)	ecosystem (1)	26:3	4:8;6:18;62:24
detailed (1)	57:15	63:12	enter (1)	example (5)
7:15	domestically (2) 24:21;25:4	ecosystems (1)	50:11 entered (1)	14:21,23;15:12;
determine (1) 61:1	Dominguez (2)	62:20 edict (1)	9:18	18:23;37:5 examples (1)
determined (1)	5:22;53:4	59:9	entertain (1)	20:12
34:20	3:22,33:4 done (9)	education (1)	65:13	exceeded (1)
determining (3)	27:9;37:2;42:23;	7:20	enthusiastic (1)	50:20
15:15,18;32:3	44:6,7,7,12;49:12;	educational (1)	39:20	exceeding (1)
	11.0,7,7,12,17.12,	Carried (1)	37.20	three times (1)

51.10	e 11 (4)	147.07.10	6045740	(2)
51:10	fall (1)	14:7;25:19	6:24;55:13	gave (3)
excellent (1)	27:10	finding (1)	formulate (2)	9:12;22:3;27:10
31:16	families (1)	39:17	27:22;38:2	general (2)
excess (1)	47:7	finished (3)	forth (1)	17:13;24:20
51:12	far (1)	7:4;10:17;52:8	39:20	generally (1)
Exchange (1)	36:7	first (12)	forward (8)	17:11
8:4	favor (1)	2:15;8:18;22:4;	7:5,14;15:8,10;	generation (2)
excited (5)	5:14	26:18;27:17;36:3;	20:21;36:7;37:7;	57:14,16
2:14;45:14;47:4,15,	FCO (1)	50:17;52:18;53:5,7;	48:24	generations (3)
18	10:8	58:5;66:5	forwarded (1)	55:5;56:23;61:15
exciting (1)	fear (1)	Fischer (3)	26:12	generous (1)
8:12	55:11	53:7;62:3;65:9	found (1)	8:19
Excuse (2)	feasible (2)	fit (3)	23:20	gentlemen (1)
2:22;45:5	20:21;21:16	4:8;18:8,24	four (1)	56:17
execute (3)	February (1)	five (3)	23:15	George (7)
18:11;22:1;23:7	5:23	39:18;60:6;64:2	framework (5)	5:19,19;8:24;32:22;
execution (3)	federal (1)	fix (2)	9:20,23;10:3,21;	36:5;41:11;49:3
25:23;36:6;37:23	20:3	45:12,12	12:23	gets (1)
executive (1)	feedback (7)	fixed (2)	frank (1)	43:5
65:10	10:2,10,22;20:7;	45:11,11	56:17	girlfriend (1)
existing (1)	27:11;29:3,3	fixture (1)	Freedom (1)	58:10
11:6	feeds (1)	59:8	4:20	given (7)
expand (4)	41:3	fixtures (3)	friend (1)	12:14;16:20;21:22;
11:4;18:20;22:19,	feel (1)	31:11;33:10;61:20	62:5	24:15;42:5;44:16;
24	37:15	flexibility (1)	friendly (1)	66:12
expanding (1)	fees (2)	35:3	57:21	gives (1)
16:7	19:16,17	fluent (3)	friends (1)	20:15
expansion (1)	felt (1)	13:23;16:5,5	55:1	giving (3)
25:3	44:21	focus (9)	front (1)	63:8,12;64:22
expect (2)	few (3)	10:12;12:6,15;18:1;	64:6	glad (1)
50:1;51:11	28:3;30:11;52:16	22:11;23:6;24:23;	fulfillment (1)	43:16
experience (5)	fields (1)	25:23;59:14	57:21	glare (3)
23:16,17;24:3;	64:16	focused (1)	full (6)	58:22;59:16,17
28:13;37:17	fighting (1)	24:22	50:18;56:10,12;	global (1)
experienced (1)	63:15	focussed (2)	58:17;61:17,20	62:10
23:24	figure (3)	9:24;21:6	full-time (1)	glory (1)
expertise (6)	28:8;36:22;40:8	focussing (1)	58:11	55:13
13:14,16;15:9;23:1,	figuring (1)	14:2	function (3)	glow (1)
21;27:7	8:9	fold (1)	43:13;59:8,10	28:17
Exposure (1)	filtering (1)	60:6	funding (5)	goal (4)
60:13	21:9	folks (6)	16:13;19:10;22:14;	9:18;15:24;20:9;
expound (1)	final (3)	2:4;6:7;44:19,22;	35:20;51:24	36:2
30:15	42:2;49:20;52:10	52:16,17	funds (1)	goals (5)
EY (1)	finalize (1)	follow (4)	48:14	19:8;22:9;23:13;
9:3	47:1	30:24;37:7;58:4;	further (3)	30:20;31:2
	finalized (1)	61:21	2:15;22:23;61:8	God (1)
${f F}$	51:20	following (2)	future (3)	64:10
	finalizing (1)	30:21;31:3	16:21;17:22;56:23	goes (1)
faces (1)	48:18	follows (1)	,,00.20	16:14
10:15	finally (3)	59:9	G	good (16)
facilitating (1)	15:13;20:2;23:10	force (1)		2:9,11;3:16;6:19;
12:16	finance (2)	59:13	gains (1)	11:12;37:17;43:11;
fact (6)	11:3;16:22	foregoing (1)	43:3	44:3;51:1,12,22;
4:19;39:17;42:5;	financial (5)	66:9	GALLEY (1)	54:18;56:1;58:6;60:1,
51:9;58:24;59:1	6:12;21:1;26:15;	forensic (1)	46:14	3
failure (1)	33:11;35:13	58:9	gang (1)	government (8)
40:18	financials (2)	forever (1)	45:9	5:6;11:5,19;15:15;
fairly (1)	7:1,15	54:22	gangs (1)	16:1;17:5;25:18;
52:3	financing (8)	form (2)	44:22	43:15
fairs (1)	11:13;13:16,24;	30:11;59:9	gathered (1)	governments (1)
47:24	20:22;21:14;38:8,11;	formal (1)	10:10	15:24
FALK (2)	44:1	52:15	gathering (1)	GPS (1)
3:10;4:23	find (2)	former (2)	41:16	41:1
J.10,T.2J	1111(1 (#)	TOTHICI (2)	71.10	F1.1

grab (1)	63:11	holding (3)	57:2	Information (10)
53:23	happy (2)	47:24;53:11,14	impact (4)	4:20;32:6,13;38:24;
grade (2)	48:16;54:12	holy (1)	21:23;28:13;41:6;	40:6,22;41:12,16;
17:16;54:24	harm (1)	59:21	49:9	46:2,9
grail (1)	57:1	Home (8)	impacting (1)	informs (1)
59:22	harmful (4)	2:12;6:4;19:21;	57:11	41:5
grant (2)	55:14;62:15,19;	22:17;46:18;47:10,	implement (5)	Infrastructure (44)
47:9;48:12	64:16	24;49:2	35:2;36:18,23;37:4;	2:8;4:18;7:17,20,
grants (3)	Harris (7)	homeownership (1)	50:10	21,24;8:1,3;9:19;
8:8;20:3;35:19	53:8,9,10,13;58:2,4,	47:6	implementation (2)	11:2,6,22;12:17,22;
grateful (3)	8	homes (2)	18:24;31:24	13:3;14:3,3,4;16:8;
9:4;48:4;49:1	head (3)	48:9;60:2	implemented (3)	17:10;18:9,15,21;
Great (10)	34:6,7,12	honed (1)	27:15;37:8;43:5	19:5,23;20:5,13,17,
5:17;6:17;7:12;	headlights (2)	11:7	implementing (2)	24;21:8;24:21,23;
14:13,23;15:11;	59:1,4	hope (6)	19:6;64:10	25:4,10;26:10;33:13;
16:16;40:5;42:4;49:2	health (2)	22:20;35:24;46:1;	implic (1)	34:9,16;40:14,23;
greater (2)	57:11;62:15	49:5;57:6;61:19	44:8	41:24;42:2,20;45:8
14:19;59:6	healthier (2)	hoped (2)	important (5)	initial (5)
green (1)	63:11,11	49:7;51:2	6:19;20:9;21:6;	9:23;10:20;13:6;
62:7	hear (2)	hopeful (1)	41:18;60:15	23:6;24:4
groundbreaking (1)	45:5,5	48:7	impressed (1)	initially (1)
45:15	heard (2)	hopefully (4)	44:11	22:11
groups (1)	8:4;17:23	16:6;36:1;54:16,22	impressive (1)	initiative (2)
48:5	heating (2)	hoping (4)	43:22	36:13;63:15
grow (1)	51:7,8	6:7,8;36:14;46:23	improved (1)	innovation (2)
60:10	held (1)	hosting (1)	11:5	35:8;41:17
grown (2) 57:14,16	47:23 help (15)	48:22 hours (1)	improvements (3) 18:15,21;40:15	innovative (5) 14:5;18:20;21:14;
guaranteed (1)	5:23;9:19,21;15:24;	45:13	incentives (1)	25:2;35:12
50:21	18:20;21:9;25:9;	housing (1)	51:15	input (3)
guaranteeing (1)	27:22;31:17;32:23;	48:5	include (1)	37:9,16;42:12
50:13	39:20;41:15,21;	HPS (1)	19:21	inspiration (1)
guess (2)	43:11;48:8	39:4	including (3)	56:22
2:10;25:13	helped (1)	HUBBARD (10)	27:20;55:15;60:20	install (1)
guidelines (3)	25:24	2:20;5:1,8;24:5,7;	income (2)	32:12
30:21;31:3;61:22	helpful (1)	29:5,8;30:14;31:4;	47:7,8	installation (1)
guiding (2)	27:12	34:19	incomes (1)	63:2
10:23;11:8	helping (3)	hue (1)	47:11	installations (1)
guy (1)	47:5;48:4,20	61:11	increase (2)	42:14
58:5	hiding (2)	huge (2)	60:6;64:12	installed (1)
guys (4)	53:10,13	27:8;28:22	incredible (1)	34:5
25:24;53:23;54:5;	High (1)	human (2)	8:23	institutional (3)
64:24	54:20	57:11;62:15	incredibly (1)	23:2,17;24:2
	higher (1)	humans (2)	27:11	institutions (1)
H	39:13	60:20,23	incubation (2)	35:17
	high-level (2)	hundreds (2)	20:18;24:16	insure (2)
habitats (1)	9:13;13:13	44:17,17	incubator (1)	10:2;11:20
55:14	highly (1)	т	14:2	insuring (1)
hand (1)	23:24	I	incumbent (2)	61:9
53:9	Highway (2)	•• (4)	50:15;51:20	integral (1)
handing (1)	59:2,3	idea (4)	independent (1)	37:12
52:24	hinders (1)	14:2;18:12;20:18,	42:9	interact (1)
handle (2)	59:17 hir os (4)	21 ideas (6)	indicates (2)	45:22 interactions (1)
34:11;47:14	hires (4)	ideas (6) 11:12;14:6;20:19;	60:7,22	interactions (1) 23:18
handling (1) 42:18	24:1,4,5,8 hirings (1)		indication (1) 20:16	23:18 interest (2)
	hirings (1) 23:16	24:16;35:13;38:12	individual (1)	21:18;55:3
happen (2) 63:19,22	historical (1)	ignore (1) 64:17	32:8	interested (4)
happening (2)	62:8	Illinois (2)	industry (6)	35:11,18;38:18;
37:19;43:3	holdbacks (1)	4:17;66:1	23:19;27:11;29:18;	52:19
happens (1)	52:7	illumination (1)	43:4;59:6,22	internal (1)
19:4	holders (1)	59:14	inefficiencies (1)	23:14
hannier (1)	20.22	imagine (1)	13.21	internally (1)

29:22

happier (1)

13:21

imagine (1)

internally (1)

			T	
47:18	66:5	26:24;39:24;63:2	15:19;31:22;46:2	link (1)
International (1)	July (2)	last (11)	leverages (1)	29:15
61:22	3:23;36:1	3:6;5:18;6:14;8:8;	11:2	list (7)
interns (1)	June (1)	9:11;10:11;27:9;	leveraging (1)	13:13;20:15;35:24;
6:6	35:24	39:18;49:5;62:11;	21:5	42:11;46:23;49:18;
interviewed (1)	jurisdictionally (1)	63:9	lien (1)	52:7
26:2	14:17	later (1)	49:24	lists (1)
into (8)	Justin (6)	3:8	lies (1)	49:22
7:21;29:6;42:12,21;	53:16,22;54:1,19;	launch (2)	31:7	little (8)
48:8;56:16;64:13;	55:24;56:1	6:16;47:3	life (1)	9:16;14:16;22:5;
65:6		launching (1)	56:24	23:11;24:13;36:6;
introduce (3)	K	47:15	lifecycle (1)	37:8;49:7
7:8;55:22;56:3		laws (1)	20:13	lives (2)
introduced (1)	keep (6)	5:5	lifespan (1)	28:14,14
5:21	4:10;6:12,20;8:6,	lawsuit (1)	34:7	loan (1)
intuitive (1)	10;36:11	64:18	lifetime (2)	47:8
18:10	kelvin (4)	lay (1)	62:12;63:9	local (1)
inventors (1)	61:2,4,11,21	44:18	light (45)	29:18
59:23	Kevin (3)	lead (1)	4:19;31:15;32:2,11;	locally (1)
investing (1)	26:20;41:8;45:3	15:21	33:10;34:18;37:14;	25:5
21:19	key (2)	leadership (1)	38:19,21,21;40:24;	longer (1)
investment (2)	10:1;51:17	6:24	55:16,17;56:3,4,5,11,	58:14
17:16;25:19	keyhole (1)	learn (4)	16,19;57:10,15;58:11,	long-term (1)
invited (1)	64:6	27:18,21;43:4;44:6	16,17,22;59:18;60:1,	22:9
7:23	kids (3)	learning (3)	5,6,14,17;61:9,10,14;	look (9)
involved (2)	55:7;57:14;58:5	13:18,20;35:12	62:5,14,20;63:3,14;	7:14;12:10;16:11,
26:4;38:3	Kim (1)	leaves (1)	64:1,2,7,20;65:1,6	17;17:22;32:4;44:20;
irresponsible (2)	29:24	60:12	Lighting (49)	55:8;58:12
63:8;64:17	kind (20)	led (10)	2:11;4:7;26:11;	looked (3)
issue (1)	15:1;19:4;26:24;	10:6;27:15,17;	28:1,2,2,6;29:6;31:8,	17:19;23:14;55:6
62:9	33:6;36:13,17;37:4,	31:20;33:9;34:6;37:6;	11,24;32:4;33:13,24;	looking (7)
issued (2)	18,23;40:18,21;42:8,	39:5;57:8;59:23	34:1,21;36:10;37:12,	3:23;7:5;18:2,14;
49:20;52:11	9,15,16;44:1,18;	LED's (8)	13;38:6,17;39:3,10,	35:19;40:1;48:24
issues (2)	49:15;50:4,24	31:11;38:20;59:15;	24;40:23;43:4,19;	Los (1)
14:11;38:22	49.13,30.4,24 kinds (3)	61:2,3;62:11;63:7;	45:20;46:1;54:10;	27:20
Italy (1)	33:17;34:1;43:1	64:1	56:10,13,13,13;57:21;	lose (1)
62:5	knowledge (5)	left (1)	58:20;59:6,7,12,20,	25:8
item (2)	23:2,18;24:3,4;46:2	33:12	20,22;60:2;62:24;	lost (1)
8:15;52:15	known (1)	legal (1)	63:2;64:6,12,23;65:4	60:16
items (4)	56:11	46:24	lights (27)	lot (14)
26:6;49:18,23;52:7	Koch (1)	legislation (1)	31:12;32:10,14,17,	2:10;17:2;20:23;
20.0,49.16,23,32.7		19:3	19,20,21,24;33:4,6,	21:4;27:13,24;37:13;
J	10:7	lender (2)	17,20;34:5;41:3;	38:1,18,23;39:21;
J	L	46:23;47:13	42:23;44:17,24;	
Ionuony (7)	L	40:25;47:15 lenders (4)	42:23;44:17,24; 45:23;57:13;60:8;	40:1;45:20,21 love (2)
January (7) 2:16;4:2;5:19;6:14;	lab (2)	17:8;47:1;48:6,13	45:25;57:15;60:8; 61:12,12;63:6,20,24;	56:22;63:14
9:12;10:12;46:20	45:19;46:7	lengthy (1)	64:4;65:3	low (1)
job (3)	43:19;40:7 labor (1)	46:21	limit (1)	47:6
7:12;47:16,22	25:18	lens (1)	52:20	lower (1)
	labs (1)	17:19	limited (1)	61:4
join (3) 26:20,23;54:8	35:18	Leo (1)	41:6	lucky (1)
joined (3)	ladies (1)	55:9	line (2)	39:16
5:20,24;54:20	56:17	Leslie (8)	5:2;50:1	39.10
5:20,24;54:20 joining (1)	30:17 laid (4)	3:20;14:22;20:3;	LINGENFELTER (28)	M
5:22	10:24;22:8;41:20;	25:20;26:7;36:9;	2:3,21,23;3:2,16;	141
Jordan (1)	47:12	39:16;45:18	4:13;5:9,12,16;8:14;	magical (1)
27:5		Leslie's (1)	24:13;25:12;26:6;	56:24
	lamp (5)	25:14	41:24;43:12;52:13;	
Juan (10)	34:6,7,12;65:3,3			main (1)
53:15,22;54:1,21,	language (2)	less (3)	53:1,6,12,15,19;54:4;	19:8
23;55:4,7,20,21;56:2	13:23;30:15	51:2;54:15;61:4	55:24;58:1;62:1;65:8,	maintain (1)
JULIAN (3)	large (3)	level (2)	13,17	49:15
54:13,19;57:23	27:20;53:10,13	41:4;51:10	linger (1)	maintains (1)
Julie (1)	largest (3)	leverage (3)	15:5	26:22
		1	1	

-			1	<u>,</u> ,
maintenance (2)	3:10;11:13,19;	17:13	58:2;61:24;62:9;	news (3)
21:3;49:13	19:17;45:21;46:1;	minority (3)	64:12;65:8	51:1,13,23
major (2)	52:19	30:7,16,23	multi-agency (1)	Next (8)
37:1;48:1	Mayer (1)	minutes (5)	15:4	8:14;26:6;36:3;
majority (1)	10:9	2:16,18;28:3;52:21;	multi-departmental (1)	49:19;50:3;52:15;
58:21	Mayor (6)	54:16	15:4	57:12;62:1
makes (2)	10:7;37:5;47:23;	misconception (1)	multiple (3)	night (12)
28:10;34:15	57:7;63:1;64:9	61:6	15:4;19:11;42:14	55:3,3,10,12,18;
Malcolm (1)	Mayor's (2)	Miss (1)	municipalities (3)	56:4,12,16,19;58:13;
30:2	46:6;47:20	65:8	27:14;37:2;39:23	63:8;64:13
MAN (1)	McKeever (1)	missing (2)	must (1)	nighttime (1)
46:14	7:10	55:10;60:14	61:7	28:13
manage (1)	mean (1)	mission (5)	myself (2)	Nobel (1)
15:3	45:22	19:24;21:12;25:16,	44:14;55:20	59:24
	meaningful (1)	21;54:22	44.14,33.20	node (1)
management (6)	40:20		N	32:1
15:1;23:22;32:1,5,		misunderstanding (1)	14	
11;49:13	means (5)	3:14		nonprofit (1)
Managing (2)	12:4;17:11;34:8,10;	model (2)	name (4)	4:17
5:20;47:17	62:10	9:23;64:19	54:19;56:2;58:8;	nonprofits (1)
mandate (4)	meantime (1)	moderate (1)	63:17	5:7
10:24;11:1;21:5;	47:20	47:6	nameless (1)	notches (1)
64:6	measurements (1)	modernization (1)	7:4	17:13
man-made (1)	63:5	37:4	Narefsky (1)	note (2)
56:5	measures (1)	Monday (2)	10:9	3:7;23:9
manner (1)	50:10	26:10,15	national (4)	notes (1)
3:19	meat (1)	money (3)	7:19,22;59:2,2	66:11
manufactures (1)	20:23	33:11;52:2;63:14	nationwide (1)	notifies (1)
59:12	media (1)	months (2)	44:10	49:14
many (16)	7:19	7:15;39:18	natural (1)	number (1)
16:23;17:23;27:1,	medium-term (1)	more (34)	55:14	57:5
19;32:3,16,17;33:18,	22:8	4:10;6:11;7:15,21;	nature (1)	
20;39:19;55:9,15;	MEEA (1)	8:12;12:7,8;14:4,23;	18:16	O
56:21;58:19;60:9,19	27:5	16:7;22:5;23:9;24:2,	necessarily (3)	
map (1)	meet (4)	14;25:4,5,8,16;34:17;	18:4;19:22;34:15	oath (1)
41:1	7:23;8:19;30:10,19	37:7,14;41:9;42:16;	necessary (1)	66:6
March (1)	meeting (15)	43:2,4,6;45:23;48:8;	22:1	objection (3)
10:17	2:1,8;3:6;4:4,5;	49:7;52:8;57:1;59:20;	need (11)	2:21;3:18;65:18
mark (2)	8:18;9:12,24;10:11;	61:3;64:2	4:4,6;16:16;17:15;	obligation (1)
3:11;33:18	46:16,20;48:17;	morning (7)	25:8,9;35:22;41:13;	17:14
market (3)	65:19;66:9,12	2:6;9:10;29:20;	56:9,12;62:24	obvious (1)
10:15;16:19;24:21	Members (1)	54:18;56:2;57:19;		45:22
			needed (3)	
marketplace (1)	58:7	58:6	49:15;61:10,13	Obviously (1)
41:17	mentioned (3)	most (9)	needs (7)	27:7
Marquisos (8)	20:4;31:14;45:18	14:7;21:7;36:24;	11:9,14,16;12:8;	o'clock (1)
5:20;33:3,16;36:8;	met (2)	59:15,18;60:4,5;63:3;	22:11;24:19;59:19	30:4
42:4,21;49:5;52:9	5:19;50:20	64:20	negative (1)	October (1)
marvel (2)	Mexico (1)	motion (6)	60:8	3:23
58:13;61:16	58:17	2:17;5:10,11,12,16;	negotiating (1)	off (4)
matches (1)	Michael (1)	65:14	50:24	31:20;56:21;61:11,
10:3	27:4	move (2)	neighborhood (5)	12
matter (5)	mid (1)	8:11;20:21	34:22;35:1,1;37:17;	offers (1)
13:13;16:24;23:1,	10:17	moved (2)	48:5	39:20
21;56:15	midst (1)	2:19;65:15	neighborhoods (1)	office (4)
mature (1)	62:8	mover (1)	35:6	5:23;46:6;47:20,21
22:13	Midwest (1)	44:5	networking (1)	official (1)
Maurice (1)	27:5	moving (1)	30:6	6:16
60:22	might (2)	46:17	neutral (1)	Ohayon (1)
may (14)	14:10;18:8	MPC (1)	35:15	60:22
4:7,7;7:18;8:4;	millions (1)	19:1	new (10)	old (1)
24:16;30:1,13;34:19;	56:20	much (14)	11:5;12:20;27:19;	58:5
△¬,ı∪,∪∪,ı,ı∪,∪¬,1,1,	20.20			
	mind (1)	13.14.24.22.25.21.	3()-7-3/1-16-3/1-/1-	()'Malley (7)
35:18,23;46:24;	mind (1)	13:14;24:22;25:21;	30:2;34:16;37:4;	O'Malley (2)
	mind (1) 10:4 minimum (1)	13:14;24:22;25:21; 26:5;33:11;36:19; 38:19;39:6;55:23;	30:2;34:16;37:4; 45:24;58:16;59:15; 63:6	O'Malley (2) 26:20;41:10 once (4)

-				<u> </u>
33:10;42:11;50:10;	2:19;5:13;44:14;	22;33:4,13,22	48:8;52:20,22;53:20;	61:5;64:18
55:11	48:22	parks (1)	56:22;64:16	points (1)
one (22)	ourselves (2)	33:20	per (1)	40:13
8:2;11:11;13:20;	25:6;36:12	part (10)	36:16	pole (4)
14:13,21;18:10,12,23;	out (34)	26:11;27:8;32:24;	percent (9)	34:6,11;40:16,24
19:8;22:15;26:11;	7:1,7;8:9;10:24;	33:23;35:10;37:12;	30:22,23;31:10,20;	poles (1)
41:2;42:7,22;49:4,6,	12:2;22:8,12,12;	42:1;45:24;48:1;	33:5,14;47:8,9;52:9	42:6
21;50:12;51:19;55:2,	23:21;24:2;28:9;	51:14	performance (4)	policies (2)
5;62:6	29:19;36:22;38:12;	participate (3)	49:16;50:9,11,12	5:2,7
ones (2)	39:8,21;40:8,16;	30:8;54:3,11	perhaps (1)	policy (6)
14:7;53:4	41:20;42:6,24;44:18,	participating (1)	15:5	4:14,15,19,22,22;
only (6)	19,20,24;45:23;46:6;	48:24	period (5)	25:22
4:3;25:7;37:16;	47:12;49:8;53:3,5;	participation (3)	17:7;24:17;50:9,11,	polluted (3)
43:2;55:20;59:4	56:12;57:9;62:6	30:17,23,24	12	59:19;63:4;64:20
onto (1)	outage (1)	particular (1)	person (2)	pollution (15)
64:7	32:13	2:13	6:12;63:10	55:16,18;56:3,4,19;
open (4)	outages (2)	particularly (1)	perspective (1)	57:10;58:16,23;60:6;
24:11;29:9,12;	32:6;45:7	33:8	44:16	61:9,14;62:5,14,21;
35:16	outer (1)	partner (3)	phase (4)	64:2
opening (1)	58:15	13:23;16:5;21:16	9:24;10:10;23:13;	poor (1)
36:11	outlined (2)	partnering (3)	42:22	17:23
operates (1)	20:12;43:23	27:7;34:4;35:18	phasing (1)	poorly (2)
26:22	outreach (1)	partners (1)	43:7	44:7;58:22
operating (2)	48:3	40:5	philanthropic (1)	Poplowski (1)
9:23;51:7	over (20)	partnership (3)	20:3	27:4
operation (1)	6:9,23;8:2;17:6;	8:22,24;18:5	phone (2)	popping (1)
6:18	22:12,19;23:9,17;	partnerships (3)	3:1,3	8:2
operational (8)	24:1;29:20;31:11;	12:11,13;16:15	pillar (2)	populated (1)
9:1,20;10:13;19:7,	32:10;54:17;62:11,	parts (1)	53:11,14	49:13
9,14;22:14;23:11	13,22;63:15,18;64:1,	8:12	pipeline (3)	position (1)
operations (7)	21	part-time (1)	16:7;18:20;21:10	14:14
5:18;17:10;19:13,	overall (1)	58:9	Pittman (1)	positioned (1)
19;20:1;21:2;51:6	6:11	pass (1)	7:9	15:3
opinions (1)	overarching (1)	52:4	place (4)	positions (1)
38:23	37:23	past (1)	10:3;23:12;37:16;	6:3
opportunities (3)	overseen (1)	39:14	45:2	positive (1)
12:15;35:5,20	36:18	pathways (1)	places (1)	35:15
opportunity (5)	oversight (4)	33:23	3:5	possibility (1)
27:18;39:11;51:18;	19:17,18;21:1,1	patient (1)	plan (8)	51:23
55:17;58:7	overview (1)	62:18	2:13;9:1;10:13;	possible (3)
Opposed (1)	9:13	patients (1)	23:11;30:19;36:6,16;	8:8;20:10;47:6
5:16	own (4)	62:16	37:24	possibly (1)
optimum (1)	45:19;54:2;55:15,	Patricia (1)	planets (1)	59:18
оритит (1) 49:16	45:19;54:2;55:15,	5:21	56:20	
				posted (2)
options (1)	owned (2)	Patty (1)	planning (4)	6:3,13
38:8	30:7,16	52:23	6:7;8:15;22:7;34:9	posting (1)
orange (1)	n	pay (6)	plants (1)	47:16
28:17	P	16:24;17:6;31:19,	60:9	potential (5)
order (12)	D21 (1)	22;38:10;52:10	plate (1)	13:1;24:7,8;44:3,9
2:8,15;11:15;12:20,	P3's (1)	paying (1)	23:7	power (1)
22;15:18;19:10;22:1;	12:18	38:11	platform (1)	55:19
40:20;51:9;60:9;61:6	painstaking (1)	payment (5)	14:14	PPP (1)
orders (1)	26:13	17:3,4,7,20;48:9	play (3)	16:18
49:14	paper (2)	payments (1)	19:18;20:5,12	practice (1)
organization (1)	11:12;63:17	17:6	Please (3)	4:16
22:13	papers (1)	payrolls (1)	64:4,5,24	practices (1)
organizational (1)	62:4	49:24	pleased (3)	27:21
22:10	paperwork (2)	pending (1)	6:1;27:21;49:10	prayer (1)
oriented (1)	49:23;51:19	49:22	pledge (1)	63:24
18:16	parallel (2)	people (17)	17:5	prebidder (1)
ornamental (3)	38:1;41:8	29:21;38:18,23;	point (9)	30:1
33:8,15,16	park (7)	39:21;40:1;42:11;	8:16;16:14;37:7;	predicted (2)
O'SHEA (4)	26:17;27:1;32:17,	43:4,9,11;44:5,6;	41:1;44:16;59:13,21;	51:11,12
- ~(-)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	

preliminary (1)	41:14;57:20	20:19	43:7	recorded (1)
20:20	procuring (1)	proposition (3)	-	3:7
prepare (1)	17:14	12:22,24;15:22	R	recovering (1)
60:11	produce (1)	prove (1)		62:16
prepared (1)	59:16	62:14	radar (1)	redevelopments (1)
54:15	produces (1)	proven (1)	4:12	18:14
presentation (1)	60:6	62:13	Raise (1)	reduce (9)
9:6	producing (1)	provide (11)	53:9	13:18,21;16:2,12;
press (2)	58:22	10:23;11:8;13:9;	RAMIREZ (4)	19:9;40:18;55:17;
29:18,18	products (1)	18:3;21:24;31:15;	5:11,13;65:16,17	62:20;64:11
presubmittal (2)	33:8	32:5;34:17;42:1;	range (3)	reduced (1)
29:22;30:4	Program (25)	46:23;54:9	16:11,14;20:6	61:14
pretty (3)	2:12;5:24;6:4,5,9,	provided (1)	rate (1)	reduces (1)
18:18;38:17;40:11	10;18:13;19:22;21:9;	27:6	40:18	59:17
prevent (1)	22:18;30:9;36:18,20,	provides (3)	rather (4)	refinancing (1)
62:15	21;42:10;46:19,21;	36:15;39:13;47:7	26:13;59:13,20;	47:11
preventive (1)	47:2,7,16,17;48:1,7,	providing (1)	64:19	regarding (2)
49:14	11;49:2	19:24	rating (2)	45:20;49:23
previous (2)	programming (1)	prudent (1)	17:14,16	region (3)
22:24;55:5	6:11	4:21	ratings (1)	14:17;16:9;17:20
previously (2)	progress (1)	public (12)	17:12	regional (2)
24:22;52:18	2:11	12:11,13;15:22,23;	reached (1)	11:19;16:7
price (1)	progressed (1)	16:15;17:14;18:4;	46:6	registration (1)
47:10	9:17	21:17;46:15;52:15;	readily (1)	30:3
primarily (2)	Project (67)	61:7;65:9	33:9	related (1)
33:22;48:12	2:12;4:7,10;8:15;	punch (3)	ready (1)	51:19
primary (1)	9:22,24;10:5;11:24;	49:18,22;52:7	7:14	release (2)
23:15	14:12,14,22;15:1,2,6,	purchase (2)	real (4)	27:23;48:14
principal (1)	11;16:1,2,12,14;	57:4,6	25:23;43:5;44:10;	released (2)
54:7	17:11,12,15;18:10;	pursue (5)	61:5	26:11,15
principles (2)	19:6,15,16,17,19,23;	11:16;12:20;20:2;	reality (2)	relevancy (1)
10:24;11:8	20:11,14,21;21:2,14,	21:21,22	16:17;17:17	25:8
Prior (1)	15,19,20,23;22:2;	pursuing (3)	really (44)	relevant (3)
4:17	23:17,22;24:3;25:10;	12:13;16:10;21:19	7:11;9:4;10:11;	11:15,17;14:7
prioritize (1) 21:9	26:6;28:4,8,9,12,12,	push (1) 15:10	11:11;12:21,24;13:5;	reliable (1) 34:17
	24;29:6;30:22;31:5,7, 22;34:13;35:10,14;		14:13;15:3,9;17:4;	
priority (1) 28:23	39:24:42:22:43:7;	pushing (2) 19:1,3	18:19;19:5;22:15,16; 23:6,22,23;24:9,18;	reliance (2)
private (19)	46:3;47:22;50:4;52:2,	put (7)	25:3,24;26:3,14;	16:13;19:9 relies (1)
12:11,13;15:13,17,	14;54:10	4:11;8:23;10:3;	27:21,22;36:17;39:7;	32:8
19,23;16:3,8,15,20;	projects (25)	23:12;38:21;41:1;	40:9,19;41:15,19;	rely (2)
17:8;18:5;21:18;	11:16,22;12:14;	62:21	43:11,22;44:3,4,4,7,8;	24:2;56:23
25:17;27:8;39:22;	13:19,22;14:18,20;	putting (3)	46:4;47:4,18;48:4;	remain (4)
43:15;64:8;65:7	15:5,10,16;16:20,23;	7:7;34:5;41:14	56:15	7:3;11:15;20:9;
Prize (1)	17:18;18:2,21;20:6;	7.7,54.5,41.14	realtime (3)	21:6
59:24	21:7,11;22:19;23:5;	Q	32:5,12;43:5	remainder (1)
pro (2)	25:7;49:9,17;51:19,	ν	reason (3)	33:12
7:2;27:6	21	qualify (2)	28:5;33:4;65:10	reminder (1)
probably (2)	promise (1)	33:19;38:14	reasonable (1)	49:8
26:21;42:8	50:14	qualifying (1)	21:20	replace (1)
problem (4)	promised (2)	47:11	rebate (1)	63:19
45:4,10;58:24;60:4	6:17;50:21	quality (4)	51:15	replaces (1)
proceedings (2)	prompting (1)	34:18;39:13;59:14,	receive (1)	57:13
66:8,12	29:24	19	47:9	report (1)
Process (14)	properly (3)	quarter (2)	received (8)	49:10
22:7;26:3,14;29:4;	56:9;59:5;60:10	2:10;36:3	8:17;29:16;48:7,14;	reported (1)
37:16,22;39:17;40:8;	property (2)	quick (2)	49:11;50:17;51:16,16	66:8
41:14,19;46:21;	64:8;65:7	5:18;49:3	recent (2)	reporter (2)
47:23;48:13;54:3	proportion (1)	quickly (2)	60:21,21	66:6,17
processes (2)	62:22	39:7;46:17	recently (2)	reporting (1)
15:7;16:5	proposal (1)	quite (1)	7:11;59:1	50:20
procurement (5)	6:15	7:5	recognize (2)	reports (3)
15:7;20:22;35:21;	proposals (1)	quotes (1)	40:3;54:1	50:17,22;64:14
-	' '	=		

				11p111 20, 2010
representatives (1)	27:9;29:9,11,14	61:7	10:22	35:24;42:11
38:4	RFP (1)	same (7)	seeks (1)	shortening (1)
require (3)	7:7	4:1;34:21;39:5;	31:23	60:11
39:15;52:6;60:9	RFQ (8)	54:23,24;55:1,4	seem (1)	shorthand (3)
required (2)	27:22;29:6,8,12;	satellite (1)	11:12	66:8,10,17
10:21;21:22	30:15;31:23;35:11,23	63:4	seems (2)	shortly (2)
Research (3)	RFQ-P (3)	save (6)	61:1,17	48:20;50:7
57:9;60:7,24	26:11;37:22;41:8	3:15;31:18;39:7;	select (1)	short-term (1)
resource (7)	rhythms (1)	50:14,15;61:13	61:20	22:8
11:24;13:15,18;	60:19	saves (1)	selected (1)	show (2)
23:3;25:11;40:15;	rich (4)	31:20	36:1	9:17;48:10
41:6	60:14,18;63:7;64:4	savings (8)	selection (2)	showing (1)
resources (7)	Richard (1)	31:22;38:10;50:21;	4:6;9:22	57:10
13:2,4;21:20,22;	63:16	51:1,10,11;57:8;	self-funded (1)	side (9)
22:1,12;40:18	rid (1)	63:14	22:21	15:22,23,23;16:3;
respond (2)	56:8	saying (3)	selling (1)	19:7,9;21:18;25:5;
29:10,13	right (33)	29:21;33:5;38:9	59:13	58:13
responded (2)	2:3;6:4;12:14,14;	scale (2)	send (1)	sign (1)
29:9,14	16:21;23:6;24:20;	14:20;21:23	29:8	52:23
respondent (1)	28:12,17,22;29:1;	scatters (1)	senior (1)	signed (2)
30:18	32:7;33:2;38:1,11;	60:5	6:11	53:5,20
responding (1)	39:10,22;40:2,10,15;	schedule (2)	sense (3)	significant (2)
29:21	41:21;42:8,14;45:17;	4:8;35:21	21:7,22;28:10	56:24;57:11
response (1)	50:13;51:4,9;52:24;	Scheinfeld (1)	sent (1)	signup (1)
11:9	53:1,6,20;55:16;63:3	10:8	62:4	53:17
		School (1)	separate (1)	SILVERS (6)
responses (1)	rightly (1)			
35:23	59:24	54:20	49:9	2:22,24;3:2,4,13;
responsibility (2)	risk (3)	scientists (1)	separately (1)	43:20
40:4;43:10	17:9,10,10	64:15	42:10	similar (5)
responsive (4)	rob (1)	scope (3)	serious (1)	5:7;8:1;14:18;
10:13;12:8;20:7,10	56:23	9:13,17,21	45:1	18:12;58:24
rest (1)	role (3)	scores (1)	seriously (1)	simply (1)
3:22	18:8;19:18;48:11	47:13	43:10	57:12
restore (3)	roles (3)	Scott (6)	service (4)	single (1)
62:12,22;64:21	20:6,12;21:1	4:23;32:14,16,20;	27:6;31:8;32:7;	15:6
restoring (1)	root (1)	33:15;44:15	47:24	sister (8)
63:18	59:12	scratch (1)	services (4)	11:10,18,20;12:1;
result (4)	roots (1)	13:21	7:7;11:9;19:21;	13:10,15;23:4,8
26:13;37:13;51:3;	60:13	screen (1)	35:10	sit (2)
60:14	Rose (1)	4:12	session (2)	52:19;54:4
results (2)	27:4	season (3)	30:6;65:10	six (1)
10:18;27:10	round (2)	51:7,8,8	set (2)	47:24
retention (3)	42:2;50:17	seat (1)	13:1;30:22	skies (1)
4:14;5:2;52:10	rules (1)	53:23	several (1)	54:10
retired (1)	31:1	seats (1)	44:24	sky (15)
58:9	run (2)	2:4	severe (1)	55:3,3,10,13,18;
	5:23;62:6		60:23	
Retrofit (6)		Second (8)		56:4,12,16,19;57:20;
14:21;18:10,11;	running (4)	2:20;5:13;10:10;	shall (1)	58:13;61:6,17,22;
49:4,6;51:19	22:18;46:22;47:19;	30:14;43:21;44:5;	7:3	63:9
revenue (7)	48:20	65:16,17	shaping (1)	slide (2)
16:19,22;19:11,12,		Secretary (1)	26:14	22:4;36:10
14;22:16,20	\mathbf{S}	10:6	share (1)	slides (1)
revenues (2)		section (1)	48:16	22:24
16:21;20:1	sacrifice (1)	35:11	shared (2)	slightly (1)
review (3)	61:7	sector (6)	13:15,18	47:14
2:13;3:21;10:18		16:8,20;25:18;27:8;	Sharon (1)	small (3)
	Sadly (1)			
reviewed (1)	58:14	39:22;43:15	7:9	4:3;30:8;54:14
4:23	safe (2)	security (1)	sheet (2)	Smart (15)
reviewing (2)	62:23;64:22	59:17	52:24;53:18	2:11;4:7;28:1,1,5,
20:18;50:22	safer (2)	seeing (4)	shielded (1)	11;35:12;36:10,12;
revolution (1)	31:17;44:21	17:2;24:20,24;	56:10	39:1,10;44:8;56:13,
62:10	safety (5)	57:17	short (5)	13;63:14
RFI (4)	31:9;37:11;59:2,3;	seeking (1)	17:17;22:15;23:23;	Smith (2)
M. 1 (7)	31.7,37.11,37.2,3,	seeking (1)	11.11,22.13,23.23,	Simul (2)
		1	п	1

·				1
53:16,17	stadiums (1)	Streetlight (7)	47:21	taxpayers (3)
social (2)	60:2	18:13;26:22;60:3,4;	supplement (1)	11:21;16:3;28:11
24:23;25:4	staff (2)	65:1,2,5	24:3	teams (2)
	6:9;44:11	streetlights (13)		30:9,11
soliciting (1)			supply (1) 30:19	
37:16	stage (1)	14:22;15:11;22:18;		technical (2)
solid (1)	13:6	44:21;45:8;46:13;	support (2)	20:20;27:7
2:9	stakeholder (3)	56:6,8,9;57:5;58:19;	23:12;57:20	technology (5)
somewhat (1)	10:21;26:21,24	59:15;64:5	supremely (1)	35:9;39:12;40:7;
51:2	stakeholders (9)	streets (1)	58:20	43:3,24
soon (1)	10:1,2,4,14,23;	31:17	sure (17)	temperature (2)
48:19	13:8;20:8;26:1;28:20	stripped (1)	6:20;21:11;26:8;	61:2,4
Sorry (3)	Stanford (2)	55:13	28:20,22,24;29:2;	temperatures (1)
2:24;3:4;32:16	57:9;60:21	strong (1)	34:4,10;35:8;36:8;	61:21
sort (6)	star (1)	38:23	38:18;40:17;43:9;	tend (3)
9:12,16;11:1;18:6;	57:17	structure (13)	46:8;48:17;53:8	15:5;17:12,15
20:11;22:5	starlight (5)	12:18;13:17;15:18;	surplus (1)	term (3)
sources (3)	62:12,22;63:10,18;	16:6,12,14;17:1,3,4,7,	20:1	17:17;22:15;23:23
38:13;42:15;56:5	64:21	20;21:15;22:10	sustainable (1)	terms (7)
	stars (9)	structured (1)	57:7	11:13;12:5;21:21;
south (1)		18:13		
58:13	55:8,9,20;56:20;		swap (1)	22:9;23:2,5;43:24
speak (2)	58:17;61:7,17,23;	structures (6)	39:8	terrific (2)
52:23;58:8	64:3	11:3;13:21;16:17;	switch (2)	8:24;47:22
specialized (6)	start (6)	18:3,8;25:2	62:10;64:1	thanks (2)
11:23;13:2,3;15:21;	13:8,20;30:4;46:3;	stub (1)	sworn (1)	25:14;41:23
23:1;33:6	53:21,22	50:17	66:5	thinking (5)
species (2)	started (1)	student (1)	synthesizing (1)	13:8;19:15;24:14;
55:15;60:17	2:4	54:19	10:21	43:24;46:3
specific (1)	starting (1)	studies (1)	system (7)	though (3)
20:11	36:3	45:20	26:23;32:1,5,11;	24:24;25:14;43:22
specifically (3)	State (3)	study (2)	34:23;49:13;51:4	thought (5)
14:3;19:15;52:1	5:3;52:4;66:1	60:21,22	systems (1)	4:21;19:11;21:5;
specifications (1)				
		ctutt (I)	60.9	/13:10:23:1/
	stated (1)	stuff (1)	60:9	43:16;53:17
38:17	2:7	36:13		thoughtful (5)
38:17 spectrum (1)	2:7 statistics (1)	36:13 subject (6)	T	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5;
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5;	T	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21	T table (4)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20;	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21;
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21;
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11;	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11;	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10;
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9;	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3;
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9;	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4 together (5)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1)	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17	T table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14 targeting (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4 together (5)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1) 34:10	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20 streams (2) 19:11,12	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17 summer (1) 6:9	table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14 targeting (1) 23:24 task (1)	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4 together (5) 8:23;14:19;25:17; 41:14;55:2
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1) 34:10 stabilize (1) 34:16	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20 streams (2) 19:11,12 Street (5)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17 summer (1) 6:9 Summers (3)	table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14 targeting (1) 23:24 task (1) 57:21	thoughtful (5)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1) 34:10 stabilize (1) 34:16 stadium (1)	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20 streams (2) 19:11,12 Street (5) 26:11;43:18;44:17;	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17 summer (1) 6:9 Summers (3) 2:5;10:6;48:2	table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14 targeting (1) 23:24 task (1) 57:21 tasked (1)	thoughtful (5)
38:17 spectrum (1) 60:18 speech (1) 54:14 spend (3) 8:20;14:11,22 spending (1) 35:16 spent (1) 27:13 spoke (1) 8:7 sport (1) 21:8 sports (1) 60:2 spread (3) 47:22;48:4,20 spreading (1) 49:1 spring (1) 54:2 SS (1) 66:2 stabilization (1) 34:10 stabilize (1) 34:16	2:7 statistics (1) 59:3 steering (1) 10:5 step (1) 10:20 Steve (1) 10:7 still (13) 6:24;10:16;32:2; 33:17;43:8;46:24; 49:17;50:23;51:14; 62:23;63:13,13;64:22 store (1) 60:13 strategic (11) 2:13;8:15;9:1,20; 10:12;12:20,23;22:7; 23:13;36:16;43:13 strategy (4) 16:11;36:22;37:9; 38:2 stream (5) 16:22;17:6;19:14; 22:16,20 streams (2) 19:11,12 Street (5)	36:13 subject (6) 3:18;4:20;5:5; 13:13;23:1,21 submit (1) 51:20 submittal (1) 30:12 submitted (1) 4:23 substantive (1) 2:10 suburbs (2) 58:15;61:16 successfully (1) 19:16 succumbment (1) 8:20 suggest (1) 42:24 suggesting (2) 56:7,8 suitability (1) 15:16 suitable (1) 15:17 summer (1) 6:9 Summers (3)	table (4) 9:9;43:11;52:20; 54:5 talent (1) 6:21 talk (5) 9:16;21:4;23:11; 28:3;36:5 talked (3) 22:23;24:13;40:14 talking (5) 27:14;35:17;36:11; 38:7;63:16 Tamoxifen (1) 62:17 tangible (1) 12:21 target (1) 41:5 targeted (4) 13:4;23:16;34:9; 40:14 targeting (1) 23:24 task (1) 57:21	thoughtful (5) 36:17;39:1,15;40:5; 41:19 thousand (1) 62:13 three (5) 11:7;17:13;49:21; 52:21;54:16 threw (1) 64:2 throughout (4) 20:13;28:21;34:22, 24 ties (2) 15:2;42:21 times (3) 17:23;45:6;64:2 timing (2) 42:6;43:17 tint (1) 60:1 today (8) 26:20,23;40:10; 54:20,21;56:14;57:3; 62:4 together (5) 8:23;14:19;25:17; 41:14;55:2 Tom (7)

Tony (1)	try (1)	update (5)	Walsh (1)	wonder (1)
58:8	25:17	5:18;49:3,6;50:9;	66:5	61:16
took (1)	trying (4)	52:5	wants (3)	wonderful (1)
26:2	36:15,22;38:2,14	updated (4)	29:13;39:3;57:7	8:22
toolbox (1)	turn (2)	4:11;6:12;8:6,10	ward (1)	wondering (1)
17:24	51:21:64:13	updates (2)	48:23	56:15
top (2)	turning (2)	26:7;52:14	warmer (1)	word (5)
32:2;65:4			61:3	
,	61:11,12	upon (2)		29:19;47:22;48:4,
total (2)	turnkey (1)	30:15,16	warranties (1)	21;49:1
32:14;47:8	6:18	use (4)	49:15	words (1)
totally (1)	two (6)	16:22;31:21;35:3;	wash (1)	55:21
63:7	3:5;6:3;29:5;40:13;	59:12	58:15	work (9)
tough (1)	42:1;49:21	used (3)	Washington (2)	8:23;9:4;16:8;
58:4	type (1)	28:18;37:13;47:11	7:9,23	21:10;24:10;31:6;
town (3)	35:12	using (2)	wasting (1)	46:7;49:14,15
			58:23	
7:3;62:22;64:21	types (1)	25:1;35:9		worked (3)
track (1)	58:19	***	way (5)	26:16;27:1,14
42:9	typically (1)	V	14:8;25:19;29:1;	working (8)
training (1)	52:6		36:23;40:3	7:6,11;27:3;45:20,
49:11		value (12)	ways (2)	24;48:14;51:15;54:
transaction (1)	\mathbf{U}	11:20;12:21,24;	18:20;57:11	world (10)
19:15	-	13:9;15:21;16:2;19:2;	website (1)	13:24;14:6;56:3;
ranscript (3)	ultimately (8)	23:8;25:22;36:15;	6:5	59:19;63:2,4;64:15
3:11;57:23;66:10	11:21;12:2,10;	38:15;56:18	Week (6)	19,21,23
transformative (1)	15:20;21:24;22:20;	variety (1)	7:17,19,22;8:6;	worth (1)
14:2	36:14;38:9	37:3	27:23;49:19	21:19
transit (2)	unable (1)	vendors (3)	weeks (4)	wow (1)
18:15;19:2	2:5	4:6;36:1;39:2	30:11;45:6,9;50:3	45:16
transition (1)	uncertainty (1)	verified (1)	well-known (1)	wrapped (3)
6:23	43:14	63:4	7:3	49:19;50:2,6
transitioning (1)	under (1)	version (1)	West (2)	write (1)
6:23	57:15	22:3	8:3;27:4	51:22
transparent (1)	unfair (1)	viable (2)	What's (6)	
16:4	57:1	12:23;16:16	2:23;21:24;23:7;	Y
transportation (5)	unfortunately (1)	victim (1)	37:17,18;53:12	
12:6;24:15,22;	9:3	45:10	Whereupon (2)	vear (8)
26:19;36:21	unique (2)	view (1)	2:1;65:19	3:22;4:5;6:23;36:2,
travel (1)	14:13;44:15	56:21	White (4)	3;42:23;50:18,18
58:16	unite (1)	violation (1)	58:5;60:1,17;63:7	years (3)
	57:17			
travels (1)		59:9	whole (4)	34:8;60:24;63:16
58:18	universities (1)	violent (1)	34:22;36:8;39:17;	York (3)
Freasurer (3)	57:10	45:1	43:7	27:19;37:4;45:24
2:5;47:21;48:2	University (1)	visibility (1)	wide (2)	
Trees (4)	45:19	59:17	20:5;37:3	1
60:10,14,15,16	Unless (3)	visible (1)	window (1)	
remendous (6)	8:11;61:14;63:19	55:8	44:20	1(1)
27:10;28:6;29:17;	unlock (1)	vision (4)	winter (1)	59:4
			60:12	
48:3;57:5;59:16	18:14	10:3,14;12:20;20:4		10 (2)
respass (2)	unnecessary (1)	visits (2)	wire (2)	34:8;52:9
64:7;65:1	59:16	28:14,15	40:16;41:3	10:00 (1)
tried (1)	unsolicited (1)	visual (1)	wiring (2)	30:3
18:7	20:19	31:16	34:6,11	10:37 (1)
rue (2)	up (36)		wish (1)	2:2
63:24;66:10	3:11;6:5;7:17;8:2;	\mathbf{W}	57:18	100 (1)
Γrust (22)	9:8;13:12;15:21;	, ,	within (4)	33:5
2:9;4:18;5:18;8:1;		Woingaget (1)	14:10;24:5;45:13;	
	20:19;22:18;23:13;	Wainscoat (1)		11:53 (1)
9:20;11:2;12:22;13:3;	24:11;25:1;36:9;	63:16	62:12	65:20
14:4;18:9,11;19:5;	46:21;47:7,9,16,19;	wait (1)	without (3)	114 (2)
20:5,17;21:8;22:9;	48:17,19,23;49:19;	57:12	2:15;57:17;63:12	49:9,17
24:1;25:10;26:10;	50:2,6;52:19,23;	waivers (1)	withstand (1)	12 (1)
36:15,19;43:14	53:11,14,23,24;55:8;	49:24	34:7	63:16
truth (1)	57:15,17;58:12;59:3;	walls (1)	women (3)	14-year (1)
61:8	63:12	65:5	30:7,16,23	50:12
		03.3	1 10 / 1	1 30.17

15 (1) 34:8 15 (1) 15 (1) 6:16 18th (1) 29:20:31:20:33:13 30:year (1) 17:6 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 46:24 2 (1) 49:9 6th (1) 3:24 3:24:33:4 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 71:50:19 2016 (3) 2:17:51:11:66:9 20h (2) 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 3:24 22 (1) 51:24 7 (3) 47:79:49:17 726 (1) 51:20 28 (1) 51:20 8 (1) 51:20 8 (1) 51:20 9 (1) 30:23 30:13 30:10 30:33 30:10 30:33 30:10 50:19 30:10 30:29 3rd (1) 30:3				Aprii 20, 2010
15th (1) 6:16 15th (1) 6:16 15th (1) 5:23 29:20;31:20;33:13 50-year (1) 17:6 17:	15 (1)			
Section Sect		5		
18th (1) 3.23 19th (1) 3.23 18t (1) 46:24 6 6 7 30:23 18t (1) 46:24 7 30:23 18t (1) 49:9 6 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 49:9 6 6 10 47:7,9:49:17 72.6 (1) 51:24 75 (1) 31:20 220,000 (1) 42:6 8 8 5 (1) 31:10 30:10 9 31:12,32:15,16 27th (1) 21:7 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30·(1) 30:1		50 (2)		
3:23 19th (1) 3:23 1st (1) 46:24 2 0(1) 46:24 6 6 6(1) 30:23 60 (1) 49:9 66th (1) 30:24 30:24,33:4 3:24 30:213 (2) 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 7:1:50:19 217:50:19 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 477,9:49:17 726 (1) 31:20 270;000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3(1) 50:19 30:41 30:1 30:1 30:1 30:1 30:1	18th (1)	29:20;31:20;33:13		
3:23 1st (1) 46:24		50-year (1)		
2 6(1) 30:23 20 (1) 49:9 66:9 60:9 60:9 60:9 60:10 30:23 20,000 (2) 32:24;33:4 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 71:50:19 2016 (3) 2:17:51:11:66:9 20th (2) 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 2:17 28 (1) 2:17 28 (1) 30:3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30 (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1		17:6		
2	1st (1)	6		
20 (1)	46:24	- (1)		
20 (1) 66:9 20,000 (2) 30:24:33:4 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 71:50:19 20th (2) 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12:32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 30:1	2	30:23		
66:9 20,000 (2) 32:24;33:4 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 7:1;50:19 20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 2717 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	20 (1)	60 (1)		
20,000 (2) 32:24;33:4 2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 7:1;50:19 2016 (3) 2:17;51:11;66:9 20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 30:1	20 (1) 66:9			
2014 (1) 59:24 2015 (2) 71:50:19 2016 (3) 2:17;51:11;66:9 20th (2) 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	20,000 (2)	3:24		
59:24 2015 (2) 7:1;50:19 2016 (3) 2:17;51:11;66:9 20th (2) 30:13:35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (20) 32:14;33:2 2770,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4;11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 30:1		7		
7:1:50:19 2016 (3) 2:17;51:11;66:9 20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 30:2 3rd (1) 30:1	59:24	1		
2016 (3) 2:17,51:11;66:9 20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 30:1				
2:17:51:11:66:9 20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 42:6 42:6 42:75 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
20th (2) 30:13;35:23 220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	2:17;51:11;66:9	51:24		
220,000 (1) 42:6 26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1		75 (1)		
26 (1) 30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 17:6 31 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	220,000 (1)	31:20		
30:22 270 (2) 32:14;33:2 270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1		8		
270 (2)		85 (1)		
270,000 (3) 31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:30 (1) 30:3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	270 (2)			
31:12;32:15,16 27th (1) 2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1		0		
2:17 28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30-(1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	31:12;32:15,16	9		
28 (1) 61:21 2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
2800 (2) 61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	28 (1)	30:3		
61:4,11 2FM (1) 49:14 3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
3 3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	2FM (1)			
3 (1) 50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	49:14	-		
50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	3			
50:19 30- (1) 17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	3(1)			
17:6 31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	50:19			
31 (1) 59:4 311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
311 (1) 32:9 3rd (1) 30:1	31 (1)			
32:9 3rd (1) 30:1				
3rd (1) 30:1	32:9			
	3rd (1)			
4	30:1	_		
<u> </u>	4	-		
4,000 (1)				
61:2 401K (1)				
6:15	6:15			
462,000 (1)	462,000 (1)			
51:16	31:10			