1	CHICAGO INFRASTRUCTURE TRUST
2	BOARD MEETING
3	CITY OF CHICAGO
4	
5	BOARD MEMBERS:
6	MR. JAMES BELL, Chairman
	(Appeared telephonically)
7	ALDERMAN JOHN POPE
	MS. DIANA FERGUSON
8	MR. JORGE RAMIREZ
9	
	ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS:
LO	
	ALDERMAN LATASHA THOMAS
L1	MR. DAMON SILVERS
	TREASURER STEPHANIE NEELY
L2	MS. MARY SUE BARRETT
L3	
	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER:
L 4	
	MR. STEPHEN BEITLER
L5	
L6	DIRECTOR, ENERGY PROGRAM
L7	MS. CLAIRE TRAMM
L8	
L9	
20	
	February 24, 2014
21	10:00 o'clock a.m.
22	Metropolitan Planning Council
	140 South Dearborn
23	Suite 1400
	Chicago, Illinois

1 ALDERMAN POPE: Good morning, everyone. 2 It's a little bit after 10:00, 10:06, and we're 3 going to call the Infrastructure meeting to 4 order. 5 Present today, we have on the phone with us James Bell. James, can you hear us? 6 7 CHAIRMAN BELL: I can hear you fine. 8 ALDERMAN POPE: James is communicating 9 with us --10 CHAIRMAN BELL: Can you hear me okay? ALDERMAN POPE: Yes, we can, sir. 11 Present today are Jorge Ramirez, 12 Diana Ferguson and John Pope. The first agenda 13 item is the call to order. 14 Moving on to Item No. 2, 15 organizational matters. The first item there 16 17 is the approval of the November 6th and November 12th, 2013 minutes. 18 19 Has the Board had a chance to review 20 the minutes? Any questions? 21 (No response.) 22 Do I have a motion to approve the 23 minutes?

MS. FERGUSON: Move approval.

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1	MR. RAMIREZ: Second.
2	ALDERMAN POPE: Moved and seconded.
3	All in favor?
4	(A chorus of ayes.)
5	Opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	The ayes have it.
8	Moving onto Item B is the
9	contracting manual.
10	MR. BEITLER: So for the contracting
11	manual, basically, as we've mentioned
12	previously, it's a living document. We will
13	probably come to the Board most every session
14	with some improvements.
15	In this particular case, we are
16	looking to improve how we deal with unsolicited
17	proposals much more effectively, and in
18	particular there were some things that were
19	missing, such as the need to protect proprietary
20	information that were omitted from the previous
21	version of the contracting manual. That's
22	probably the major item in the unsolicited
23	proposal section.

So we ask that the Board of the

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1 Trust approve the new version of the contracting 2 manual. 3 MR. RAMIREZ: Motion. 4 MS. FERGUSON: Second. 5 ALDERMAN POPE: Motion made and seconded. All in favor? 6 7 (A chorus of ayes.) 8 Opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 The ayes have it. The next item is the resolution for 11 the audit RFP. 12 13 MR. BEITLER: So with the assistance or the direction of our outside accounting firm 14 and our legal team, we have drafted an audit 15 RFP, and this is the resolution to proceed with 16 17 the audit RFP to select an auditor so that we 18 can proceed with the annual audit. 19 While there's no requirement, we 20 will also do an audit of the stub year from the beginning of the Trust to just dot all the I's 21 22 and cross all the T's. ALDERMAN POPE: And, Steve, any idea 23 what the timing of that would be?

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1 MR. BEITLER: We will -- If the Board 2 approves the RFP proposal, we will issue it 3 immediately, and with a couple of week 4 turnaround. MS. FERGUSON: How many firms do you 5 6 anticipate sending it out to? 7 MR. BEITLER: Well, probably at least a 8 dozen firms that we anticipate sending it to. We're trying to send it to firms that are MBE, 9 10 WBE, DBE, et cetera. 11 MR. RAMIREZ: Local? 12 MR. BEITLER: I'm sorry? 13 MR. RAMIREZ: Most of them are local? 14 MR. BEITLER: We're not -- I mean, there could be a national firm that I suppose 15 gets it, but we're focusing on local firms. 16 TREASURER NEELY: Why wouldn't we just 17 18 post it on the website? Why send it out to a 19 select few? 20 MR. BEITLER: Well, we are posting it 21 on the website, so that is our main methodology, 22 but if somebody brings a name to the Trust and says this is somebody that ought to respond to 23

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

this, we just want to make sure that they see

- 1 it.
 2
 3 Pittman 8
 4 or are the
 5
 6 because 6
 7
 - MS. FERGUSON: And is Washington
- 3 Pittman & McKeever exempted from participating
- 4 or are they going to --
- 5 MR. BEITLER: They cannot participate
- 6 because they're our accountant.
- 7 MS. FERGUSON: Thank you.
- 8 MR. RAMIREZ: Is anyone willing to do
- 9 it for free, do we know?
- 10 MR. BEITLER: You know, I think that
- 11 there's actually -- I think there's an issue
- 12 with asking a firm to do your audit for free
- because of the conflict that's associated.
- 14 There may be others who have a different view of
- that, but my view is it creates a conflict that
- 16 we don't want to have.
- 17 MR. RAMIREZ: Motion.
- MS. FERGUSON: Second.
- 19 ALDERMAN POPE: Before we move on that,
- 20 make sure that everyone speaks into the
- 21 microphone so the court report can properly
- 22 document.
- So there has been a motion and seconded. All in favor?

Τ	(A chorus of ayes.)
2	Opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	Once again the ayes have it.
5	The final item under the
6	organizational matters is the budget.
7	MR. BEITLER: We are going to ask
8	Vivian Funches from Washington Pittman &
9	McKeever to come to the podium to discuss budget
10	matters.
11	This is really information only.
12	There is no budget item to approve today. It's
13	just sort of where are we at the moment.
14	MS. FUNCHES: Good morning. The first
15	statement is the statement of financial
16	position, and this is December the 31st, 2013.
17	Our total assets which consists of cash of
18	\$32,335, grants receivable of 57,760 and prepaid
19	expenses of 1,525 with a total assets of
20	\$91,620, with current liabilities of 91,620,
21	and our total liabilities and net assets is
22	91,620.
23	Unaudited numbers for the statement
	of activities budget versus actual. Our actual

- 1 revenue is 503,748 compared to budget of 2 835,397, which is a favorable budget of 331,649. 3 The annual budget was 1.4 million 4 and we're forecasting our total income for the 5 first quarter of 337,869. Total expenses actual б was 503,748 with a balance of zero. No excess 7 revenue, no expenses over income. So the total budget, expenses were 8 9 835,397, and our expenses were under budget by 10 331,649. Favorable budget. The annual budget was 1.4, and our forecast expenses are 337,869. 11 Again, these are unaudited numbers 12 13 and we expect to have the audit completed by mid 14 May. Any questions? 15 (No response.) 16 ALDERMAN POPE: Any questions by the 17 Board or Advisory Members? 18 (No response.) 19 If not, can I have a motion to 20 approve the budget? It's not even a matter. 21 I'm sorry. 22 So we'll move onto the next item, the Retrofit update. 23 Steve?

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

MR. BEITLER: So before we launch into

- 1 the Retrofit update, I want to call your 2 attention to this slide, and I think that the 3 main point of this slide is that the Trust has 4 actually begun to attract projects from outside 5 investors. 6 I think that the reason, one in 7 particular, that we're doing that is because of 8 the unsolicited proposal process. I'm not going 9 to go through these projects because we'll be 10 discussing them at length with the individuals who are working on them, but I think that it's 11 12 just very important to note that the initiatives 13 that we're beginning to see are as a direct 14 result of creating processes that are beginning to attract private sector investors, and it's a 15 nice feeling to begin to have that occur. 16 17 So with that, the first program that 18 we'll delve into is Municipal Retrofit. I'll 19 ask Clair Tramm to come up and discuss that with 20 us. 21 I do want to make one point before 22 Claire takes the podium, which is that the only change from a material standpoint in this 23 program since we've been talking about it and
 - Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- 1 passed the resolutions is that the size of the
- 2 program has decreased.
- 3 Claire will go into that, but as the
- 4 size is decreased, it is still within the
- 5 constraints of the resolution that the Board
- 6 passed.
- 7 MS. TRAMM: Thanks, Steve.
- 8 So I think we've seen slide 11
- 9 before. It's just an overall profile of the
- 10 Retrofit 1 project.
- 11 I think slide 12 goes into a little
- 12 bit more depth on the actual details of the
- 13 final transaction. So as you reviewed in
- 14 November, selected an efficiency services
- agreement and that was approved by City Council
- in January.
- 17 This involves an off credit, off
- 18 balance sheet financing for the City by
- 19 structuring the agreement in a way where the
- 20 Trust is both taking an ESCO quarantee for the
- 21 savings as well as letting the City pay per
- 22 units of energy saved.
- 23 The final scope of the deal was 60 buildings, 18 percent reduction in energy use in

1 these buildings, 36 wards, 108 jobs and a 2 savings of \$1.5 million annually to pay for that 3 1.2 upfront million project cost. 4 We did close it at a 4.95 percent 5 interest rate. We're finalizing that transaction right now and expect to have the 6 7 actual work begun by the end of March. 8 We were able to do it with a 9 15-year contract, and so that is one of the 10 reasons, in addition to the slightly higher interest rate due to the City's credit rating 11 downgrade that we did have to shrink the size of 12 13 the project. 14 So on Page --TREASURER NEELY: Can I ask a question? 15 16 MS. TRAMM: Go ahead. 17 TREASURER NEELY: Do you have a 18 comparable municipal rate for a 15-year bond 19 which is comparable to the City's rating to 20 compare this 4.95 percentages rate to? 21 MS. TRAMM: The independent transaction 22 reviewer noted that this was only 20 basis points above what the City's bonds were 23 currently trading at. I think it was Phoenix

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- 1 Capital Partners in December wrote that
- 2 independent review of the transaction.
- 3 MR. RAMIREZ: But it's locked. We're
- 4 going to get into that. It's locked in the
- future, the potential downgrades and we're good
- 6 to go.
- 7 MS. TRAMM: There are no potential
- 8 changes for that rate. Obviously we're most
- 9 concerned about that.
- 10 MR. RAMIREZ: Maybe I am moving ahead a
- little bit, but the next slide should have been
- 12 before this one because I think it does a great
- job of syncing up the timeline.
- 14 MS. TRAMM: So the next two slides
- speak to the timeline and we're hoping to have
- it completed, again as I said, you know, mid
- 17 March.
- 18 And, you know, 13 I think is a good
- 19 overview of how far we've come and really what
- all the steps have been in closing our very
- 21 first deal for the Trust.
- 22 If there's no questions on Page 13,
- 23 I'll move to Page 14. Go ahead, Damon.

MR. SILVERS: This is Damon Silvers

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- 1 from the Advisory Board.
- 2 I just had a question about the
- 3 summary of the deal here before we move onto the
- 4 extensive timeline.
- 5 MS. TRAMM: Absolutely.
- 6 MR. SILVERS: Well, two things. I want
- 7 to just commend you all on the basic financing.
- 8 It's a very good deal.
- 9 And, secondly, I had a question.
- 10 You know, one hears a lot of different estimates
- 11 about what level of energy savings is possible
- in retrofits like this, and I wondered if you
- can give us any background on the 18 percent
- 14 number.
- MS. TRAMM: So that's a really good
- 16 question. In projects like this, it's
- 17 completely depending actually on the financing
- 18 you can get, so there's a delicate balance
- 19 between, you know, the energy economics, the
- 20 underlying economics in the building and, you
- 21 know, what kind of capital you can get, how long
- 22 the capital is for.
- 23 So we ended up getting 15-year money which really is 14-year because you have

- 1 construction period and, you know, it's at 2 almost 5 percent rate. So that is really what 3 determines how deep we can dig in each building. 4 If we had say 50-year capital, maybe 5 we could make the things that had 40-year paybacks but really had another 10 percent 6 7 savings work, so really that number is -- it's completely, you know, a general equilibrium 8 number with the underlying energy economics 9 10 actually. I only had one other 11 MR. RAMIREZ: followup question. I didn't see it in here, but 12 I know I've seen it before about the number of 13 14 jobs that are created and things like that. I don't know if you have any --15 MS. TRAMM: Page 12, we calculated it 16 17 will create at least a hundred jobs, 108 was I 18 believe not, you know, a multiplier about nine 19 per million spent which is very conservative 20 frankly, and I actually advocated for a higher 21 number, but I think we all agree that this was 22 the minimum number we could all agree on based on the best research available. 23
 - MR. RAMIREZ: Okay. Great.

1	MS. TRAMM: If there are no further
2	questions on 12, I think I'll skip 13 because
3	we've already sort of addressed the timeline and
4	where we've come and how far we have come
5	frankly in the last year.
6	14, just to recount, so how did we
7	get from an announced hundred million dollar
8	deal down to a 12 or 13 million dollar deal?
9	Before the CIT was involved, the
10	second bullet is that it was reduced
11	essentially to 76 million which consisted of 37
12	million for Department of Water Management's
13	project which was then put on hold due to the
14	lien structure.
15	They have a number, six to seven
16	revenue bonds, that create quite a set of
17	encumbrances on that property, and so it makes
18	it difficult for us at this time until some of
19	those bonds come due in the next year or two for
20	us to finance it the way we'd like.
21	Secondly, that 76 million consisted
22	of about 11.4 million of Chicago Public School's
23	lighting financing which we did not end up
	recommending be financed through an efficiency

1 service agreement. It got financed I believe 2 through a lease which did not require the 3 Trust's involvement, although we did connect 4 them with that financing and handle that, so 5 they were very grateful for that. 15.3 million of the 27.5 million 2FM 6 7 project which we have actually focused on could not be financed at that interest rate over a 8 9 14-year period. 10 So to Damon's point earlier, this is exactly the kind of general equilibrium that you 11 12 have to balance, you know, when you're doing off credit, off balance sheet financing. You just 13 14 can't finance everything you'd love to do. It has to pay for itself within the term of the 15 financing that you're offered. So we've ended 16 17 up with the 12.2 million project that's in 18 progress now. 19 Page 15 just shows you the calendar 20 looking forward. Again we're finalizing the 21 documents, and I think we've made tremendous 22 progress in the last month or so since the City Council approved the deal, and we expect that 23 we'll be able to close the transaction the week

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- of March 10th and actually hit the ground
- 2 running March 24th. We're very excited with
- 3 that.
- 4 So Page 16 just shows how the Trust
- 5 will play a role and all the other key parties
- 6 involved will play a role post transaction
- 7 close.
- 8 So the ESCOs, Schneider Electric,
- 9 Ameresco and NORESCO play support and primary
- 10 roles. PBC, as you can see, has been contracted
- 11 by the Trust to essentially be the project
- 12 manager and the construction manager for the
- project and was selected also by 2FM to do that.
- 14 That's their traditional role.
- They will play just a role during
- 16 the construction period. After that it becomes
- a transaction that's entirely done through the
- 18 ESCO, 2FM and Trust relationships, which you see
- 19 sort of at the bottom of the right column.
- 20 So are there any further questions
- on Retrofit 1 before I move to the next project?
- 22 Sue?
- MS. BARRETT: Quick question. Mary Sue Barrett on the Advisory Board.

1	Quick question about this
2	relationship you just referred to with the
3	Public Building Commission. Is that a fairly
4	typical, as you look forward, delineation of
5	responsibilities or deployment of the Public
6	Building Commission?
7	MS. TRAMM: In this case 2FM lacks its
8	own internal capabilities to oversee
9	construction projects. They are able, once the
10	construction is finished, to oversee the ongoing
11	operations and maintenance, but they don't have
12	the internal capacity to oversee or manage the
13	construction.
14	And so when we serve 2FM in the
15	future, we believe that this will be the
16	status you know, the status quo and expected
17	way of doing projects with them. That may not
18	be the case for other sister agencies. We'll
19	have to take each one as it comes.
20	MS. BARRETT: Thank you.
21	MR. BEITLER: Thanks, Claire.
22	We just wanted you to see what some
23	of the other potential projects are that will be
	coming up in terms of retrofit, and among those

1 is street lighting, and Claire will also be 2 addressing the street lighting project. 3 MS. TRAMM: Thanks. 4 So the first candidate project for 5 Retrofit 2.0 is the street light upgrade for the city which we are proposing to issue very 6 7 shortly an RFI for, request for information, in 8 order to get back responses about what's 9 possible for the market. 10 The reason we're suggesting we go to an RFI rather than an RFP at this point is 11 because we've -- if you look at Page 19, there 12 13 are a number of really interesting things that 14 streetlights can be used for as a platform beyond just the energy savings that can pay for 15 the capital upgrades themselves. 16 17 There have been cost effective 18 energy savings upgrade in D.C., New York, L.A. 19 and a number of other cities around the world, 20 however, the platform area is a very innovative 21 and new thing that we need to -- we don't 22 necessarily know exactly who will respond and what they will come back with, and so that's why 23 we're taking the time to do an RFP with this

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1 proposal or RFI with this proposal rather than 2 immediately scoping exactly what we want. 3 would like to hear from the community in terms 4 of what else can be done. 5 So, for instance, if you look at 6 some of these platform opportunities, 7 communication, big data, connectivity like Wi-Fi 8 hot spots, traffic and savings management, 9 there's things that can tie into our safety and 10 police system, public safety system, car charging. So, for instance, we've seen some 11 ideas around solar and video and things like 12 13 that. 14 So we'd like to evaluate all these 15 with all the relevant stakeholders and we just had a kick off last week with the City at the 16 17 highest levels to discuss that and form a 18 working group to move that forward. 19 I think Page 20 does a good job of 20 just reviewing some of the opportunities around 21 the energy savings side which is what we expect to drive the majority of the economics around 22 this, and we'll certainly be keeping our eyes 23

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

and ears open to respond as to the RFI that have

- 1 good ideas that are revenue neutral in 2 particular on the platform side. 3 But I happen to know from talking to 4 some of the other -- the folks that ran some of 5 those other LED street light conversions that 6 they had huge savings, sometimes in the 70 7 percent range, 80 percent on maintenance, and so 8 you're typically seeing about 10X of upfront cost of an LED bulb, but it gets replaced a 9 10 third as often and saves you a ton of energy. So the economics are going to be 11 very, very interesting here, and we look forward 12 13 to getting back some responses on this. 14 ALDERMAN POPE: A question or two. First of all, I'm glad we are 15 This is one of the initial 16 pursuing this. 17 topics or items we discussed early on in terms 18 of pursuing because there's a potential for 19 great return. 20 But clarification, when you talk 21 about lights, you're not just talking about the 22 fixtures, you're talking about the pole, the 23 base, the structure itself?
 - Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

MS. TRAMM: Indeed, yes.

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

So we're

1 going to get -- basically try and get the energy 2 savings and the operations and maintenance 3 savings to pay for as much of that overall 4 replacement to the base, the wiring, et cetera, 5 as we possibly can. 6 MR. BEITLER: I think though before you 7 ask your next question, if you don't mind, we 8 have to be very clear in that we're not clear yet how much work from an infrastructure 9 10 standpoint needs to be done on these lights. There are some lights in some areas 11 12 where there may be extensive rewiring required, for example, and so from an ROI standpoint, one 13 14 the reasons we're interested in streetlights as a platform, aside from just the obvious 15 effectiveness of it, is that some of things that 16 17 we can utilize when we consider the streetlights 18 as a platform might generate revenue which, in 19 turn, pays for some of the infrastructure 20 upgrade that simply replacing the light bulbs 21 themselves would otherwise not sustain. 22 So it's a fairly complex formula in 23 term of being able -- the answer to your

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

question is yes, but in order to do that,

- 1 there's a fairly complex set of formulas there. 2 ALDERMAN POPE: On Page 18, the CITs, 3 you mentioned the BOM, the build operate manage, 4 can you clarify that or provide more 5 information, please? 6 MS. TRAMM: Yeah. So the build operate 7 manage contracting methodology allows us to let 8 people propose to come back with both the design 9 and structure of it as well as the operations 10 and management of say replacing the light bulbs. 11 12 So, you know, what we're talking about is figuring out how to make that work with 13 14 the City's already strapped labor resources because there's a lot of better things we can be 15 doing with our laborer's time and there's a lot 16 17 of savings just on the truck rolling, so paying 18 for the truck rolling costs as well as the light 19 bulb replacement. 20 MR. BEITLER: And again the City is 21 actually already following that routine for 22 other lighting, and this is just an extension of
 - ALDERMAN POPE: Thank you.

how they're treating lighting at the moment.

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

23

1 ALDERMAN THOMAS: Are you on Page 21 2 yet? 3 MS. TRAMM: I can be, yes. Let's look 4 at the timeline, everyone. 5 ALDERMAN THOMAS: Maybe go through the 6 timeline before I ask you some questions on 7 that. 8 MS. TRAMM: Sure. Absolutely. 9 So Page 21 just shows we've done a 10 lot of pre work on this here today. We're hoping to issue an RFI by early to mid March, as 11 soon as we're able to just finish quantifying 12 13 the current state of the City and the Chicago 14 Park District lighting systems so that people can intelligently respond within the RFI to what 15 16 we currently have. 17 And then later, after we've had a 18 chance to review to over -- at least 30 days, 19 what we'll do is post it on the website and let 20 folks respond, you know, as they will, and then 21 after 30 days we'll close that request for information, we'll review all -- we'll take 22 several weeks to review all that in concert with 23 the City and the Park District, and then we'll

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- 1 come up with an RFP based on what we think is 2 actually going to be -- the economics we'll work 3 on. 4 So we're taking a funneling approach 5 from the RFI to the RFP which hopefully will be 6 issued in early May. 7 ALDERMAN THOMAS: Okay. Alderman 8 Latasha Thomas, Advisory Council. 9 My question is actually on things 10 that appear to have been done already. So the automatic briefing and the briefings you've had 11 with the Mayor's Office staff was just general 12 because this was only a thought? 13 14 MS. TRAMM: Right. ALDERMAN THOMAS: Now it seems to be 15 really in progress but the -- just clarify for 16 17 me what those briefings were because I don't 18 remember the in depth that we're having now. 19 MR. BEITLER: They were general briefings. 20 They were not specific briefings 21 about this particular project. This project was 22 included in those briefings, but there was
 - Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

presentations.

nothing in depth about this project in those

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

23

1	ALDERMAN THOMAS: Before today it was
2	just an idea? It was like an idea, correct?
3	MR. BEITLER: That's correct. We have
4	had one significant meeting with the City staff
5	in terms of which actually occurred this past
6	week in terms of beginning this project, and
7	there were some actions that we were waiting for
8	in order to have that meeting.
9	So there was some audits being
10	conducted of the street lighting itself, and a
11	great deal of that work is now completed and
12	able to be parsed to be able to use on an
13	analytical basis which up to now we didn't have
14	that information.
15	So this particular schedule is
16	probably a tad too aggressive in terms of
17	getting everything done, but nonetheless we are
18	trying to get an RFI completed and issued in as
19	aggressive a timeline as possible.
20	TREASURER NEELY: Treasurer Stephanie
21	Neely, Advisory Council.
22	CHAIRMAN BELL: I think this is missing
23	a critical point. I don't see this thing coming
	back to the Trust Board for approval once you

- 1 have some information around what we've dealing 2 with. 3 MR. BEITLER: There's nothing to 4 approve at the moment. We need to gather a 5 significant amount of information in order to 6 structure --7 CHAIRMAN BELL: Well, I understand 8 that. 9 MR. BEITLER: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN BELL: But you got a time schedule here that shows this, and I don't see a 11 time table on here to bring it back to the Trust 12 13 for approval of the deal. 14 MS. TRAMM: I think that April meeting would be our goal to come back and review the 15 results of the RFI and then proceed ahead with 16 17 the RFI after that board meeting.
- 18 TREASURER NEELY: Okay. Well --
- 19 CHAIRMAN BELL: You're going to have to
- 20 have our approval before you go back to issue an
- 21 RFP, that's my point. Where is that in the time
- 22 frame?
- MR. BEITLER: That would be at the Board -- presumably at the Board meeting in

- 1 April, that would be the time point if that's 2 not too aggressive a schedule, which it might 3 be. 4 CHAIRMAN BELL: Well, I think you need 5 to put that on the time table. 6 MS. TRAMM: Will do. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN BELL: That's a critical step. 8 MR. BEITLER: We'll do that. 9 TREASURER NEELY: And maybe my question 10 should be asked at the April meeting because I'm not following the deal exactly. If you're 11 telling me a million dollars of savings are 12 going to go to replacing, then I'm trying to 13 14 figure out where the economics is in the deal. 15 MR. BEITLER: I agree. I mean, I think
- 17 There's no deal at this point. Generally in
- other cities, what we do know from studying the

that we should wait. There's no economics.

- other cities, and we've studied a number of them
- at this point, is that the replacement of
- 21 existing light bulbs with LEDs has generated
- 22 adequate economics to recommend going forward
- with the replacement of the bulbs.

In most other analog situations, the

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

16

1 only thing they've done is to replace the bulbs, 2 so we don't really have an analog in terms of 3 what happens if you're using it as a platform or 4 if you have to do significant infrastructure 5 work so there's a lot --TREASURER NEELY: I'll wait -- As the 6 7 Chairman said, we'll wait for the April meeting 8 to get a better sense of the deal. 9 CHAIRMAN BELL: I just think the key 10 point is we don't know that we have a deal. This is well beyond the timeline to find out 11 what the possibilities are, to package that and 12 bring it back to the Board and see if, in fact, 13 14 there are economics that would support going forward with a deal. 15 MR. SILVERS: This is Damon Silvers 16 17 from the Advisory Board. 18 In this vein, not making a comment 19 on what's been doing so far but really just 20 observing some issue that you all will face as 21 you move forward with this, it seems to me, 22 again upon very brief review of this, that the basic model that you've looked at in other 23

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

cities appears to be not that -- in certain

- 1 respects not that different from say the 2 retrofit project that we're already moving ahead 3 on, meaning that you basically swap out some 4 technology, you have a cost savings associated with that which can be -- through creative 5 6 structuring can be used to finance the swap, 7 pretty simple, and relatively -- without that 8 many challenges in terms of thinking about 9 operations and public/private issues and the 10 like. I think when you move to what in 11 12 many respects looks like a very promising idea 13 of turning streetlights into something far more 14 multidimensional that then you're going to be into a different space in a lot of ways, I think 15 some of them having to do with issues of 16 17 financing and control, and some of them having 18 to do with, you know, which of these purposes is 19 the public going to want and where will people 20 have concerns and the like. 21 I would observe that I think it's 22 critical as you do that to envision a -- that as you move ahead in that direction, and I think 23
 - Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

it's a good idea to do so, that you look at how

1 to ensure that ultimate control here continues 2 to reside with the public body. 3 That issue is going to come up 4 here in a much more serious way when you move to 5 this multifunctional platform than it does in 6 just a the question of swapping out the light 7 bulbs. 8 MR. BEITLER: We understand and 9 actually we're not envisioning that control 10 would go some place else, but there's an awful lot of research to do right now to just even be 11 able to make any kind of recommendations, so 12 13 we're just at an information gathering stage. 14 MR. SILVERS: And I want to be clear, I understand that. You know, I think you all 15 ought to -- I think it's a very good idea in 16 17 general concept and ought to be explored. 18 MS. TRAMM: Thank you. 19 MR. BEITLER: Thanks, Claire. 20 So this takes us to transportation 21 program initiatives, and the initiative that 22 we'll be discussing today, and Nick Epstein and Chris Kane will take us through this portion of 23

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

the presentation is on compressed natural gas.

1	MR. EPSTEIN: Hello. So we've been
2	working for the last several months on
3	developing a plan to help enable the to build
4	out compressed natural gas fueling
5	infrastructure.
6	The reason why we're interested in
7	compressed natural gas, I'll skip ahead here,
8	compressed natural gas is the most price stable,
9	lowest cost transportation fuel currently
10	available. It's about 40 percent cheaper than
11	gasoline on diesel and diesel on a gallon of
12	gasoline equivalent unit. So for every mile
13	that you're transporting goods, every mile that
14	you're transporting people, you're saving
15	40 percent on the fuel costs.
16	It also has the largest potential of
17	displacing foreign petroleum use relative to bio
18	diesel propane as well. And it has, compared to
19	all other alternative fuel, you see the highest
20	demand growth for CNG.
21	Despite all these positive trend
22	lines, the growth of CNG fueling stations where
23	fleets and the public can go and fuel their
	vehicles has been stagmant for the better part

1 of 20 years. 2 And just to review, CNG, it's 3 natural gas that goes through your house and 4 heats your house, and it goes through a special 5 compression process which allows it to be 6 utilized as a transportation fuel for vehicles 7 that have been equipped with on board compressors, and hence then you can use it, you 8 9 know, as you could any other sort of 10 transportation fuel. We think that again because it's so 11 much cheaper, it's a domestically produced fuel, 12 13 and there are, we think, strong environmental 14 benefits in terms of tail pipe pollutant 15 emissions as well as moderate greenhouse gas emission reductions compared to gasoline and 16 17 diesel. 18 But the large issue here and why, 19 you know, we think that there's a place in the 20 Trust to get involved in this field is that 21 there's what we call a network externality or a 22 chicken and the egg problem basically. You have CNG fueling providers who 23

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

want to build stations, they want to invest

1 their money, but they won't take that risk 2 because there isn't enough fuel demand. There 3 aren't enough end users who have CNG vehicles or 4 NGV to purchase the fuel, and you have end 5 users, companies with large vehicle fleets that would be interested in pursing this and getting 6 7 the cost savings on the fuel, but they won't 8 take that risk because there aren't a network of 9 stations built along their fueling routes. 10 So this program is still very much under development, but we are identifying all of 11 the barriers in the City of Chicago to getting 12 these stations built. 13 14 We think there are basically three 15 main components, a real estate component where we would provide streamline service to help get 16 17 these stations built in terms of zoning and permitting, the current process is very 18 cumbersome; a stakeholder and outreach service 19 20 where we would gather together fueling providers 21 and potential end users and try to get stations 22 built along fueling routes for these companies in exchange for potential fueling contracts; and 23 then as well, the most developed component at

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

- this point is a financial model that we've
- 2 developed for the City of Chicago fleet where
- 3 they could potentially convert a number of their
- 4 vehicles to use natural gas as sort of the first
- 5 mover in this project. Chris has been working
- on the financial model for sometime.
- 7 TREASURER NEELY: Just a really quick
- 8 question. This is Treasurer Stephanie Neely
- 9 again.
- 10 MR. EPSTEIN: Sure.
- 11 TREASURER NEELY: Is there a forward
- 12 contract part of this at all in terms of locking
- in natural gas prices versus or is this too
- early to even discuss?
- MR. EPSTEIN: I mean, it's too early to
- discuss, but within the CNG world, a fueling
- 17 provider and potential end user, you can
- 18 structure the contracts that way where you would
- 19 get a guaranteed price over a number of years
- 20 provided that you're purchasing a certain amount
- of CNG fuel, so it's all on the table.
- 22 MR. SILVERS: As the Treasurer said --
- 23 I'm Damon Silvers with the Advisory Board.

TREASURER NEELY: Still.

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1	MR. SILVERS: Still.
2	There's a particular issue here that
3	you all may be aware of, but I want to make sure
4	you are which is the question of whether the
5	trend line of natural gas pricing is going to
6	remain stable as natural gas prices globalize.
7	There's a very I mean, it's
8	unclear how much this will happen, but there's a
9	pretty serious effort underway to export from
10	the United States, and I don't think anybody
11	really knows where that leads in terms of
12	pricing, so the kind of contract that the
13	Treasurer was referring to might be very useful
14	in this environment.
15	MR. EPSTEIN: Again we've spoken to
16	some of the largest CNG fueling providers, and
17	we've discussed this point, you know, would it
18	be possible to structure contracts where you're
19	guaranteed, you know, a price years into the
20	future, and, you know, they're very flexible.
21	It's a common method that they use for their
22	current clients with private municipal fleets.
23	TREASURER NEELY: There are clearly
	four contracts out there. The question is

- 1 what's the price. There's a cost associated.
- 2 That has to be part of the economics.
- 3 MR. EPSTEIN: Right.
- 4 TREASURER NEELY: It's probably
- 5 premature.
- 6 MR. EPSTEIN: Yes.
- 7 MR. BEITLER: And, in fact, as we get
- 8 into the economic analysis, even though we don't
- 9 specifically answer that question, we get a
- sense of some of the economics that we've delved
- 11 into at this point.
- 12 MR. KANE: Good morning. In terms of
- 13 how I helped Nick with his analysis is
- 14 essentially at a number of vehicles that the
- 15 City currently operates.
- 16 Specifically we looked at a number
- of vehicles that the City already operates in
- 18 which I would call the general purpose fleet,
- 19 and then there was actually the police pursuit
- 20 cruiser fleet, and we identified just under
- 4,000 vehicles, and we did our best to run it
- 22 through the traps.
- We feel that by making three changes to acquisitions, fueling and a little bit of the

1 maintenance provisions that the City already 2 does --3 TREASURER NEELY: There's no fire 4 equipment into that analysis at all? 5 MR. BEITLER: Well, as we were doing 6 the analysis, we tried --7 TREASURER NEELY: Those are our most 8 expensive vehicles to run are our fire vehicles, so I'm just curious as to why either those 9 10 weren't or will they be. MR. BEITLER: We're not looking at 11 heavy vehicles at this point from an analytical 12 13 standpoint. The initial issue was we take a 14 portion of the vehicle fleet that would be easy and lucrative to convert for the City of Chicago 15 and that would be the leader for the purpose of 16 17 getting a number of natural gas stations 18 deployed. 19 Each of the heavy vehicle 20 components, generally there are other issues 21 that surround the heavy vehicles. Like, for 22 garbage, which in many, many cities they have converted to CNG garbage trucks, but how you 23 pick up garbage becomes an issue.

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1	And so there's a whole lot of						
2	analytical points beyond just the fleet itself						
3	that become issues in doing the analysis for the						
4	heavy vehicles.						
5	For the light duty vehicles, you can						
6	really analyze the vehicle light duty fleet						
7	itself, and there's not a whole lot of ancillary						
8	issue which was why we eventually settled on						
9	light duty vehicles.						
10	ALDERMAN POPE: Steve, is this proposal						
11	limited to City proper vehicles or are we						
12	talking about the sister agencies like the Park						
13	District, CTA, Board of Ed all of whom have a						
14	fair amount of vehicles?						
15	MR. BEITLER: In theory everyone should						
16	avail themselves of it. What we were looking at						
17	was a specific component of the fleet, in this						
18	case City of Chicago proper, for the purpose of						
19	making a conversion which would cause a						
20	significant number of stations to be built.						
21	Once those stations are built, then						
22	we would do further outreach to the City and						
23	sister agencies. Additionally, there are a						
	significant number of major corporations in the						

1 City who already use CNG vehicles in other 2 cities so we would, at an initial point, do 3 outreach to those particular corporations so 4 that they would consider converting their 5 vehicles that currently are in the City of 6 Chicago to CNG so that they would begin using 7 the stations so that from the chicken and egg 8 standpoint, as more and more vehicles convert, 9 we get more and more stations, and as we get 10 more and more stations, then we can start to look at the heavier vehicles, for example, and 11 12 get those converted. 13 ALDERMAN POPE: And do you foresee this 14 maybe extending to being available to the 15 general public as well? 16 MR. BEITLER: I do. 17 MR. EPSTEIN: Yes. 18 MR. BEITLER: So the way the stations 19 work, they are not open only to a company or a 20 city or unit of government. In fact, there are 21 several CNG light duty vehicles that are 22 available to the general public today and there

General Motors and Ford are all

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

are more that are coming out.

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

23

1 introducing CNG vehicles at the moment. 2 best selling pickup truck in the United States 3 which is the F150 is available as a CNG vehicle. 4 So any small business in the City that uses a pickup truck could avail themselves. 5 6 MS. FERGUSON: So are the fueling 7 stations retrofitted of existing gas stations or 8 are these greenfield newly built facilities? 9 MR. BEITLER: Well, they could be 10 retrofitted. We have identified about a hundred pieces of land that the City owns that were 11 12 preexisting gas stations that could be retrofitted for CNG purposes. 13 14 However, the way the CNG industry works, in addition to dedicated stations, they 15 often just put in a pump at an existing station. 16 17 MS. FERGUSON: Well, that's what I was going to ask. Can it be coresident with an 18 19 existing traditional gas station? Can you just 20 add a pump. So the answer to that is yes? 21 MR. BEITLER: The answer is yes and 22 that's frequently how you find it. The CNG companies themselves like to build stations 23 because they want to be able to accommodate

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

1 heavy trucks, and as we all know from pulling 2 into a gas station, most of the gas stations we 3 fill up at, there's no way that a heavy truck 4 could be accommodated at one of those, so they'd 5 have to build them specially for the purpose of accommodating the heavy trucks and would do so 6 7 here in the City as well. 8 MR. RAMIREZ: I just have a question. This is Jorge Ramirez. Would we be able to take 9 10 advantage -- I'm interested in seeing what scale 11 you could take this to. 12 We have a partnership agreement with 13 Cook County, City/County partnership. Would the 14 County be able to avail itself of this as well for their light duty vehicles as well as the 15 State even if they could? 16 17 MR. BEITLER: We would hope so. 18 this point, however, we are doing an analytical 19 exercise. It is our expectation that we will 20 receive some unsolicited proposals for this 21 particular proposal that we've been working on, 22 and when we receive those unsolicited proposals, 23 then we will analyze them in relation to the

Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

program that we're establishing, and we believe

- 1 that there is very, very wide applicability for 2 this particular program. 3 We are limited in terms of, you 4 know, taking a very narrow approach at the moment, looking at the 4,000 or so light duty 5 vehicles because there is a payback for the City 6 7 of Chicago for converting those light duty 8 vehicles. 9 So the important thing for us as the 10 Trust is to work with the City to realize the payback for the light duty vehicles to get this 11 program on its way, give birth to it, if you 12 will, and then having given birth to it, there 13 14 are many, many things that can occur. 15 There's one not-for-profit company, for example, that does nothing but help 16 17 corporations try to convert their fleets. 18 there are plenty of folks out there that will 19 piggyback on this to help make it grow. 20 MR. SILVERS: This is Damon Silvers. 21 iust --
- 22 CHAIRMAN BELL: This is James Bell.
- 23 And for the interest of time to the Board and the Advisory Board, this is something that is an

- 1 interesting project that's on the drawing board 2 that has a lot of potential if we can make the 3 economics work. 4 One major issue we'll have to work 5 with is, you know, the demand versus the capacity that exists today, and that's something 6 7 that we have yet to work through, and we have to 8 do that. 9 But this is sort of for your 10 information to let you know the things that we think has application to help the City, and 11 that's something that we think will fit within 12 13 the Trust structure. 14 There's a lot of work yet to be I know that some of the comments have 15 done. been excellent things that we want to consider, 16 17 and I do think what we do have gets the right
- 20 MR. SILVERS: This is Damon Silvers.
- 21 I would just observe about this
- that again unlike, for example, the school
- 23 retrofit -- I think some of the comments have been made go around this point, that this has

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

There's still a lot of work to be done on

18

19

core.

this project.

- revenue growth potential. You build this and 1 2 there's a lot of upside potentially. So it 3 calls for a different type of financing. 4 MR. BEITLER: We agree. Alderman? 5 ALDERMAN THOMAS: He nailed it for me. 6 MR. BEITLER: Okay. 7 ALDERMAN THOMAS: He nailed my 8 question. 9 MR. KANE: I guess the only thing I 10 would conclude with, if there aren't anymore questions, what we're proposing, what we've 11 looked at right now is certainly not the scale 12 13 that maybe it could be, but we tried to just 14 limit it to what we know and right now, as we 15 see it, the perspective is that it's very possible. 16 17 MR. BEITLER: Thanks. 18 So at this point we will ask for 19 public comment, Mr. Chairman, and that will 20 enable us to go into executive session and not 21 have to --22 ALDERMAN POPE: Is there any public comments at this time? 23
 - Generated by the RealLegal©; transcript to rtf converter

(No response.)

```
1
                  No one has responded. At this
 2
      time then we'll move forward to executive
 3
      session.
 4
               MR. BEITLER: Basically we'll stop for
 5
      a moment. We would ask the public if you could
      please excuse us as we go into executive
 6
 7
      session.
 8
                        (The executive session
 9
                       proceedings were transcribed
10
                        under separate cover.)
               ALDERMAN POPE: We are now back in the
11
      open meeting. Steve has joined us too.
12
13
               CHAIRMAN BELL: Any other comments or
14
      thoughts for the open meeting?
               ALDERMAN POPE: No one in the public is
15
      out there. They are all gone?
16
17
               MR. BEITLER: Yes.
18
               ALDERMAN POPE: Nothing. Hearing none,
19
      do I have a motion to adjourn?
20
               MR. RAMIREZ: So moved.
21
               MS. FERGUSON: Second.
22
               ALDERMAN POPE: All in favor?
                        (A chorus of ayes.)
23
                  Opposed?
```

1			(No	respo	onse.)
2		The	ayes	have	it.	The meeting is
3	adjourned.					
4			(Wh:	ich we	ere a	ll the proceedings
5			had	in th	ne ab	ove-entitled
6			caus	se.)		
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

To activate E-Transcript Manager, please email RealLegal: support@reallegal.com.

23

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)					
) SS:					
2	COUNTY OF COOK)					
3						
4	I, MARI BETH KAWULIA, a Certified					
5	Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do					
6	hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the					
7	proceedings had at the meeting aforesaid, and					
8	that the foregoing is a true, complete and					
9	correct transcript of the proceedings of said					
10	meeting as appears from my stenographic notes so					
11	taken and transcribed under my personal					
12	direction.					
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my					
14	hand at Chicago, Illinois, this 7th day of					
15	March, 2014.					
16						
17						
18						
	MARI BETH KAWULIA					
19	C.S.R. No. 084-2873					
20						
21						
22						
23						